

<https://helda.helsinki.fi>

Phenological shifts of abiotic events, producers and consumers across a continent

Roslin, Tomas

2021-03

Roslin , T , Antao , L , Hällfors , M , Meyke , E , Lo , C , Tikhonov , G , Delgado , M D M ,
Gurarie , E , Abadonova , M , Abduraimov , O , Adrianova , O , Akimova , T , Akkiev , M ,
Ananin , A , Andreeva , E , Andriychuk , N , Antipin , M , Arzamascev , K , Babina , S ,
Babushkin , M , Bakin , O , Barabancova , A , Basilskaia , I , Belova , N , Belyaeva , N ,
Bespalova , T , Bisikalova , E , Bobretsov , A , Bobrov , V , Bobrovskiy , V , Bochkareva , E ,
Bogdanov , G , Bolshakov , V , Bondarchuk , S , Bukharova , E , Butunina , A , Buyvolov , Y
, Buyvolova , A , Bykov , Y , Chakhireva , E , Chashchina , O , Cherenkova , N , Chistjakov ,
S , Chuhontseva , S , Davydov , E A , Demchenko , V , Diadicheva , E , Dobrolyubov , A ,
Dostoyevskaya , L , Drovkina , S , Drozdova , Z , Dubanaev , A , Dubrovsky , Y , Elsukov , S
, Epova , L , Ermakova , O S , Ermakova , O S , Ershkova , E , Esengeldenova , A ,
Evstigneev , O , Fedchenko , I , Fedotova , V , Filatova , T , Gashev , S , Gavrilov , A ,
Gaydysh , I , Golovcov , D , Goncharova , N , Gorbunova , E , Gordeeva , T , Grishchenko ,
V , Gromyko , L , Hohryakov , V , Hritankov , A , Ignatenko , E , Igosheva , S , Ivanova , U ,
Ivanova , N , Kalinkin , Y , Kaygorodova , E , Kazansky , F , Kiseleva , D , Knorre , A ,
Kolpashikov , L , Korobov , E , Korolyova , H , Korotkikh , N , Kosenkov , G , Kossenko , S ,
Kotlugalyamova , E , Kozlovsky , E , Kozshechkin , V , Kozurak , A , Kozyr , I ,
Krasnopevtseva , A , Kruglikov , S , Kuberskaya , O , Kudryavtsev , A , Kulebyakina , E ,
Kulsha , Y , Kupriyanova , M , Kurbanbagamaev , M , Kutenkov , A , Kutenkova , N ,
Kuyantseva , N , Kuznetsov , A , Larin , E , Lebedev , P , Litvinov , K , Luzhkova , N ,
Mahmudov , A , Makovkina , L , Mamontov , V , Mayorova , S , Megalinskaja , I , Meydus , A
, Minin , A , Mitrofanov , O , Motruk , M , Myslenkov , A , Nasonova , N , Nemtseva , N ,
Nesterova , I , Nezdolii , T , Niroda , T , Novikova , T , Panicheva , D , Pavlov , A , Pavlova ,
K , Podolski , S , Polikarpova , N , Polyanskaya , T , Pospelov , I , Pospelova , E , Prokhorov
, I , Prokosheva , I , Puchnina , L , Putrashyk , I , Raiskaya , J , Rozhkov , Y , Rozhkova , O ,
Rudenko , M , Rybnikova , I , Rykova , S , Sahnevich , M , Samoylov , A , Sanko , V ,
Sapelnikova , I , Sazonov , S , Selyunina , Z , Shalaeva , K , Shashkov , M , Shcherbakov , A
, Shevchyk , V , Shubin , S , Shujskaja , E , Sibgatullin , R , Sikkila , N , Sitnikova , E , Sivkov
, A , Skok , N , Skorokhodova , S , Smirnova , E , Sokolova , G , Sopin , V , Spasovski , Y ,
Stepanov , S , Stratiy , V , Strekalovskaya , V , Sukhov , A , Suleymanova , G ,
Sultangareeva , L , Teleganova , V , Teplov , V , Teplova , V , Tertitsa , T , Timoshkin , V ,
Tirski , D , Tolmachev , A , Tomilin , A , Tselishcheva , L , Turgunov , M , Tyukh , Y , Van , P
, Van , V , Vasin , A , Vasina , A , Vekliuk , A , Vetchinnikova , L , Vinogradov , V ,
Volodchenkov , N , Voloshina , I , Xoliqov , T , Yablonovska-Grishchenko , E , Yakovlev , V ,

Phenological shifts of abiotic events, producers and consumers across a continent

Authors:

Tomas Roslin¹, Laura Antao², Maria Hällfors², Evgeniy Meyke³, Coong Lo², Gleb Tikhonov⁴, Maria del Mar Delgado⁵, Eliezer Gurarie⁶, Marina Abadonova⁷, Ozodbek Abduraimov⁸, Olga Adrianova⁹, Tatiana Akimova¹⁰, Muzhigit Akkiev¹¹, Aleksandr Ananin^{12,13}, Elena Andreeva¹⁴, Natalia Andriychuk¹⁵, Maxim Antipin¹⁶, Konstantin Arzamashev¹⁷, Svetlana Babina¹⁸, Miroslav Babushkin¹⁹, Oleg Bakin²⁰, Anna Barabancova²¹, Inna Basilskaia²², Nina Belova²³, Natalia Belyaeva²⁴, Tatjana Bepalova²⁵, Evgeniya Bisikalova²⁶, Anatoly Bobretsov²⁷, Vladimir Bobrov²⁸, Vadim Bobrovskiy²⁹, Elena Bochkareva^{30,31}, Gennady Bogdanov³², Vladimir Bolshakov³³, Svetlana Bondarchuk³⁴, Evgeniya Bukharova^{12,102}, Alena Butunina²⁵, Yuri Buyvolov³⁵, Anna Buyvolova³⁶, Yuri Bykov³⁷, Elena Chakhireva²⁰, Olga Chashchina³⁸, Nadezhda Cherenkova³⁹, Sergey Chistjakov⁴⁰, Svetlana Chuhontseva¹⁰, Evgeniy A Davydov^{41,30}, Viktor Demchenko⁴², Elena Diadicheva⁴², Aleksandr Dobrolyubov⁴³, Ludmila Dostoyevskaya⁴⁴, Svetlana Drovnina³⁹, Zoya Drozdova³⁷, Akynaly Dubanaev⁴⁵, Yuriy Dubrovsky⁴⁶, Sergey Elsukov³⁴, Lidia Epova⁴⁷, Olga Ermakova²³, Olga S Ermakova⁴⁸, Elena Ershkova^{49,50}, Aleksandra Esengeldenova²⁵, Oleg Evstigneev⁵¹, Irina Fedchenko⁵², Violetta Fedotova⁴⁴, Tatiana Filatova⁵³, Sergey Gashev⁵⁴, Anatolii Gavrilov⁵⁵, Irina Gaydysh⁹, Dmitriy Golovcov⁵⁶, Nadezhda Goncharova¹⁴, Elena Gorbunova¹⁰, Tatyana Gordeeva^{57,102}, Vitaly Grishchenko⁵⁸, Ludmila Gromyko³⁴, Vladimir Hohryakov⁵⁹, Alexander Hritankov¹⁴, Elena Ignatenko⁶⁰, Svetlana Igosheva⁶¹, Uliya Ivanova⁶², Natalya Ivanova⁶³, Yury Kalinkin¹⁰, Evgeniya Kaygorodova⁵¹, Fedor Kazansky⁶⁴, Darya Kiseleva⁶⁵, Anastasia Knorre^{14,66}, Leonid Kolpashikov⁵⁵, Evgenii Korobov⁶⁷, Helen Korolyova¹⁰, Natalia Korotkikh²⁵, Gennadiy Kosenkov⁵⁹, Sergey Kossenkov⁵¹, Elvira Kotlugalyamova⁶⁸, Evgeny Kozlovsky⁶⁹, Vladimir Kozshechkin¹⁴, Alla Kozurak¹⁵, Irina Kozyr²³, Aleksandra Krasnopevtseva²³, Sergey

25 Kruglikov⁵¹, Olga Kuberskaya²⁹, Aleksey Kudryavtsev⁴³, Elena Kulebyakina⁷⁰, Yuliia Kulsha⁵⁸,
 26 Margarita Kupriyanova⁶², Murad Kurbanbagamaev²⁷, Anatoliy Kutenkov⁷¹, Nadezhda Kutenkova⁷¹,
 27 Nadezhda Kuyantseva^{38,72}, Andrey Kuznetsov¹⁹, Evgeniy Larin²⁵, Pavel Lebedev^{44,73}, Kirill
 28 Litvinov⁷⁴, Natalia Luzhkova¹², Azizbek Mahmudov⁸, Lidiya Makovkina⁷⁵, Viktor Mamontov⁷⁰,
 29 Svetlana Mayorova³⁷, Irina Megalinskaja²⁷, Artur Meydus^{76,77}, Aleksandr Minin^{78,79}, Oleg
 30 Mitrofanov¹⁰, Mykhailo Motruk⁸⁰, Aleksandr Myslenkov⁷⁵, Nina Nasonova⁸¹, Natalia Nemtseva¹⁹,
 31 Irina Nesterova³⁴, Tamara Nezdolij⁶², Tatyana Niroda⁸², Tatiana Novikova⁶¹, Darya Panicheva⁶⁴,
 32 Alexey Pavlov²⁰, Klara Pavlova⁶⁰, Sergei Podolski⁶⁰, Natalja Polikarpova⁸³, Tatiana Polyanskaya⁸⁴,
 33 Igor Pospelov²⁸, Elena Pospelova⁵⁵, Ilya Prokhorov³⁶, Irina Prokosheva⁸⁵, Lyudmila Puchnina⁵²,
 34 Ivan Putrashyk⁸², Julia Raiskaya⁷⁶, Yuri Rozhkov⁸⁶, Olga Rozhkova⁸⁶, Marina Rudenko⁸⁷, Irina
 35 Rybnikova¹⁹, Svetlana Rykova⁵², Miroslava Sahnevich¹⁰, Alexander Samoylov³⁹, Valeri Sanko⁴²,
 36 Inna Sapelnikova²², Sergei Sazonov^{88,102}, Zoya Selyunina⁸⁹, Ksenia Shalaeva⁵⁹, Maksim
 37 Shashkov^{90,63}, Anatoliy Shcherbakov⁷¹, Vasyl Shevchyk⁵⁸, Sergej Shubin⁹¹, Elena Shujskaja⁶⁷,
 38 Rustam Sibgatullin²⁴, Natalia Sikkila⁹, Elena Sitnikova⁵¹, Andrei Sivkov^{52,102}, Nataliya Skok⁶²,
 39 Svetlana Skorokhodova⁷¹, Elena Smirnova³⁴, Galina Sokolova³⁵, Vladimir Sopin⁷⁶, Yuri
 40 Spasovski⁹², Sergei Stepanov⁶⁷, Vitaliy Stratiy⁹³, Violetta Strekalovskaya⁵⁵, Alexander Sukhov⁷¹,
 41 Guzalya Suleymanova⁹⁴, Liliya Sultangareeva⁶⁸, Viktorija Teleganova⁵⁷, Viktor Teplov^{27,102},
 42 Valentina Teplova²⁷, Tatiana Tertitsa²⁷, Vladislav Timoshkin¹⁴, Dmitry Tirski⁸⁶, Andrej
 43 Tolmachev²¹, Aleksey Tomilin^{95,96}, Ludmila Tselishcheva⁹¹, Mirabdulla Turgunov⁸, Yuriy Tyukh⁸²,
 44 Polina Van²⁹, Vladimir Van²⁹, Aleksander Vasin⁹⁷, Aleksandra Vasina⁹⁷, Anatoliy Vekliuk¹⁵, Lidia
 45 Vetchinnikova⁸⁸, Vladislav Vinogradov⁹⁸, Nikolay Volodchenkov²³, Inna Voloshina⁷⁵, Tura
 46 Xoliqov⁹⁹, Eugenia Yablonovska-Grishchenko⁵⁸, Vladimir Yakovlev^{10,102}, Marina Yakovleva⁷¹,
 47 Oksana Yantser⁶², Yuriy Yarema⁸², Andrey Zahvatov¹⁰⁰, Valery Zakharov³⁸, Nicolay Zelenetskiy¹⁹,
 48 Anatolii Zheltukhin⁶⁷, Tatyana Zubina¹⁰, Juri Kurhinen^{2,88} & Otso Ovaskainen^{2,101}

49 **Affiliations:**

50 ¹Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Ecology, PO BOX 7044, SE-75007
51 Uppsala, Sweden, ²University of Helsinki, PO BOX 65 00014 Helsinki, Finland, ³EarthCape OY,
52 Latokartanonkaari 3 00790 Helsinki, Finland, ⁴Department of Computer Science, Aalto University,
53 PO Box 15400 FI-00076 , Finland, ⁵Oviedo University, Research Unit of Biodiversity (UMIB, UO-
54 CSIC-PA), Campus Mieres, 33600 Mieres, Spain, ⁶University of Maryland, 3237 Biology-
55 Psychology Building, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, United States, ⁷National
56 Park Orlovskoe Polesie, 303943, Orel region, Hotynetskiy district, Zhuderskiy village, Shkolnaya
57 st. 2, Russian Federation, ⁸Institute of Botany, Academy of sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan,
58 100053, Tashkent, Bogi shamol str. 232 V, Uzbekistan, ⁹Kostomuksha Nature Reserve, 186930,
59 Karelia Republic, Kostomuksha, Priozernaya 2, Russian Federation, ¹⁰Altai State Nature Biosphere
60 Reserve, 649000, Altai Republic, Gorno-Altaysk, Naberezhnyi st., 1, Russian
61 Federation, ¹¹Kabardino-Balkarski Nature Reserve, 360000, Kabardino-Balkaria, Cherek District,
62 Mechieva 78, Russian Federation, ¹²FSE Zapovednoe Podlemorye, 671623, Republic of Buryatia,
63 Ust-Bargizin, Lenina st. 71, Russian Federation, ¹³Institute of General and Experimental Biology,
64 Siberian Department, Russian Academy of Sciences, 670047, Republic of Buryatia, Ulan-Ude,
65 Sakhyanovoy str. 6, Russian Federation, ¹⁴State Nature Reserve Stolby, 660006, Krasnoyarsk
66 region, Krasnoyarsk, Kariernaya 26, Russian Federation, ¹⁵Carpathian Biosphere Reserve, 90600,
67 Zakarpatska obl., Rakhiv, Krasne Pleso Str. 77, Ukraine, ¹⁶Nizhne-Svirsky State Nature Reserve,
68 18700, Leningrad Region, Lodeinoe Pole, Svir River, 1, Russian Federation, ¹⁷State Nature Reserve
69 Prisursky, 428034, Cheboksary, Lesnoj, 9, Russian Federation, ¹⁸Zapovednoe Pribajkalje (Bajkalo-
70 Lensky State Nature Reserve, Pribajkalsky National Park), 664050, Irkutsk, Bajkalskaya St., 291B,
71 Russian Federation, ¹⁹Darwin Nature Biosphere Reserve, 162723, Cherepovets District, Vologda
72 Region, Borok, 44, p/o Ploskovo, Russian Federation, ²⁰Volzhsko-Kamsky National Nature

73 Biosphere Reserve, 422537, Tatarstan Republic, Zelenodolsk District, p/o Raifa, Sadovy, str.
 74 Vechova, 1, Russian Federation, ²¹FGBU National Park Shushenskiy Bor, 662710, Krasnoyarsk
 75 Region, Shushenskoe, Lugovaja 9, Russian Federation, ²²Voronezhsky Nature Biosphere Reserve,
 76 394080, Centralnaja usadba, Goszapovednik, Voronezh, Russian Federation, ²³Baikalsky State
 77 Nature Biosphere Reserve, 671220, Buryatia Republic, Kabansky District, Tankhoy, 34
 78 Krasnogvardeyskaya Street, Russian Federation, ²⁴Visimsky Nature Biosphere Reserve, 624140,
 79 Kirovgrad, Stepana Razina, 23, Russian Federation, ²⁵Kondinskie Lakes National Park named after
 80 L. F. Stashkevich, 628240, Hanty-Mansijsk district, City Sovietsky, Komsomolski st., 5, Russian
 81 Federation, ²⁶FSBI United Administration of the Kedrovaya Pad' State Biosphere Nature Reserve
 82 and Leopard's Land National Park, 690068, Primorskiy kray, Vladivostok, pr. 100-letiya
 83 Vladivostoka 127, Russian Federation, ²⁷Pechoro-Ilych State Nature Reserve, 169436, Komi
 84 Republic, Trinity-Pechora region, Yaksha, Laninoy Street 8, Russian Federation, ²⁸A. N. Severtsov
 85 Institute of Ecology and Evolution, 119071, Moscow, Leninsky Prospect 33, Russian
 86 Federation, ²⁹FGBU Zapovednoye Priamurye, Komsomolskiy Department, 681000, Khabarovskiy
 87 krai, Komsomolsk-on-Amur, Mira avenue, 54, Russian Federation, ³⁰Tigirek State Nature Reserve,
 88 656043, Barnaul, Nikitina street 111, Russian Federation, ³¹Institute of Systematics and Ecology of
 89 Animals of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, 930091, Novosibirsk, Frunze 11,
 90 Russian Federation, ³²State Nature Reserve Bolshaya Kokshaga, 424038, Mary El Republic,
 91 Yoshkar-Ola, Voinov-Internacionalistov 26, Russian Federation, ³³Institute of Plant and Animal
 92 Ecology, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, 620100, Ekaterinburg, 8 Marta 202/3,
 93 Russian Federation, ³⁴Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Reserve named after K. G. Abramov,
 94 692150, Primorsky krai, Terney, Partizanskaya 44, Russian Federation, ³⁵FSBI Prioksko-Terrasniy
 95 State Reserve, 142200, Moscow region, Serpukhov district, Danky, Russian
 96 Federation, ³⁶Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Leninskie Gory, Russian

97 Federation, ³⁷National park Meshchera, 601501, Vladimir region, Gus-Hrustalny,
 98 Internacionalnaya 111, Russian Federation, ³⁸South Urals Federal Research Center of Mineralogy
 99 and Geoecology of the Urals Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ilmeny State Reserve,
 100 456317, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, Russian Federation, ³⁹FGBU National Park Kenozersky,
 101 163000, Arkhangelsk, Embankment of the Northern Dvina, 78, Russian Federation, ⁴⁰FGBU GPZ
 102 Kologrivskij les im. M.G. Sinicina, 157440, Kostromskaja oblast', Kologriv, Nekrasova 48, Russian
 103 Federation, ⁴¹Altai State University, 656049, Lenin Ave. 61, Barnaul, Russian
 104 Federation, ⁴²Pryazovskyi National Nature Park, 72312, Zaporiz'ka oblast, Melitopol', Interkulturna
 105 Street, 21/1, Ukraine, ⁴³State Nature Reserve Privolzhskaya Lesostep, 440031, Penza, Okruzhnaya
 106 12-a, Russian Federation, ⁴⁴Komarov Botanical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (BIN
 107 RAS), 197376, Saint Petersburg, Professora Popova 2, Russian Federation, ⁴⁵Sary-Chelek State
 108 Nature Reserve, 715705, Dzalal-Abad region, Aksu district, Arkyt village, Kyrgyzstan, ⁴⁶Institute
 109 for Evolutionary Ecology NAS Ukraine, 03143, Kiev, Lebedeva 37, Ukraine, ⁴⁷FGBU State Nature
 110 Reserve Kuznetsk Alatau, 652888, Kemerovo region, Mezhdurechensk, Shakhterov 33-1, Russian
 111 Federation, ⁴⁸Kerzhenskiy State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 603001, Nizhny Novgorod,
 112 Rozhdestvenskaya 23, Russian Federation, ⁴⁹FSBI United Administration of the Mordovia State
 113 Nature Reserve and National Park Smolny, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk, Krasnaya 30,
 114 Russian Federation, ⁵⁰Ogarev Mordovia State University, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk,
 115 Bolshevistskaya 68, Russian Federation, ⁵¹Bryansk Forest Nature Reserve, 242180, Bryansk region,
 116 Suzemka district, Nerussa St., Zapovednaya street, 2, Russian Federation, ⁵²Pinezhsky State Nature
 117 Reserve, 164610, Arhangel region, Pinezkiy district, Pinega, Pervomayskaya street, 123 A, Russian
 118 Federation, ⁵³The Central Chernozem State Biosphere Nature Reserve named after Professor V.V.
 119 Alyokhin, 305528 Kurskiy region, Kurskiy district, p/o Zapovednoe, Russian Federation, ⁵⁴Tyumen
 120 State University, 625043, Tyumen, Pirogova str., 3, Russian Federation, ⁵⁵Reserves of Taimyr,

121 666300, Norilsk, str. Talnakhskaya, entrance 2, Russian Federation, ⁵⁶Chatkalski National Park,
 122 100059, Toshkent, Shota Rustaveli St., 144-34, Uzbekistan, ⁵⁷National Park Ugra, 248007, Kaluga,
 123 Prigorodnoe lesnichestvo, 3a, Russian Federation, ⁵⁸Kaniv Nature Reserve, 19000, Kaniv,
 124 Shevchenko str. 108, Ukraine, ⁵⁹Smolenskoe Poozerje National Park, 216270, Smolensk Region,
 125 Demidovskiy district, Przhevalskoe, Gurevitch street 19, Russian Federation, ⁶⁰FSBI Zeya State
 126 Nature Reserve, 676246, Stroitel'naya str. 71, Zeya, Amurskaya Oblast, Russian
 127 Federation, ⁶¹Polistovsky State Nature Reserve, 182840, Pskov region, Bezhanitsy district,
 128 Bezhanitsy Sovetskaya street, 9B, Russian Federation, ⁶²Ural State Pedagogical University, 620017,
 129 Yekaterinburg, prosp. Kosmonavtov, 26, Russian Federation, ⁶³Institute of Mathematical Problems
 130 of Biology RAS – the Branch of the Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of Russian Academy
 131 of Sciences, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Prof. Vitkevicha 1, Russian
 132 Federation, ⁶⁴Kronotsky Federal Nature Biosphere Reserve, 684000, Kamchatka region, Yelizovo,
 133 Ryabikova street 48, Russian Federation, ⁶⁵Zhiguli Nature Reserve, 445362, Samara region, P.
 134 Bakhilova Polyana, Zhigulyovskaya 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁶Institute for Ecology and Geography,
 135 Siberian Federal University, 660041, Krasnoyarsk, 79 Svobodny pr., Russian Federation, ⁶⁷Central
 136 Forest State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 172521, Tver region, Nelidovo district, Zapovedniy village,
 137 Russian Federation, ⁶⁸National Park Bashkirija, 453870, Bashkortostan Republic, Meleuzovskiy
 138 district, Nurgush, Abubakirova 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁹State Nature Reserve Kurilsky, 694500,
 139 Sakhalin, Juzhno-Kurilsk, Zarechnaya 5, Russian Federation, ⁷⁰Vodlozersky National Park, 185002,
 140 Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Parkovaya 44, Russian Federation, ⁷¹State Nature Reserve Kivach, 186220,
 141 Kondopoga District, Republic of Karelia, Russian Federation, ⁷²South-Ural Federal University,
 142 4563304, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, ul. Kalinina 37, Russian Federation, ⁷³Saint-Petersburg
 143 State Forest Technical University, 194021, St. Petersburg, Institutsky per. 5, 1-338-3, Russian
 144 Federation, ⁷⁴Astrakhan Biosphere Reserve, 414021, Astrakhan, Tsaerv River Bank 119, Russian

145 Federation, ⁷⁵FSBI United Administration of the Lazovsky State Reserve and national park Zov
 146 Tigra, 692980, Primorskiy Krai, Lazovskiy District, Lazo, Centralnaya, 56, Russian
 147 Federation, ⁷⁶State Nature Reserve Tunguskiy, 660028, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk Street 27
 148 19, Russian Federation, ⁷⁷Krasnoyarsk State Pedagogical University named after V.P. Astafyev,
 149 660049, Krasnoyarsk, Ada Lebedeva st. 89, Russian Federation, ⁷⁸Institute of Geography, Russian
 150 Academy of Sciences, 119017, Moscow, Staromonetniy 29, Russian Federation, ⁷⁹Koltzov Institute
 151 of Developmental Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119334, Moscow, Vavilov Street 26,
 152 Russian Federation, ⁸⁰Carpathian National Nature Park, 78500, Ivano-Frankivsk region, Yaremche,
 153 V. Stusa street 6, Ukraine, ⁸¹State Environmental Institution National Park Braslav lakes, 211970,
 154 Vitebsk region, Braslav, Dachnaya 1, Belarus, ⁸²National Park Synevyr, 90041, Zakarpattia Region,
 155 Mizhhirs'kyi district, Synevyr-Ostriki, Ukraine, ⁸³Pasvik State Nature Reserve, 184421, Murmansk
 156 region, Nikel, Gvardeyskiy Ave. 43, Russian Federation, ⁸⁴Mari Chodra National Park, 425090,
 157 Mari El Republic, Zvenigovsky District, Krasnogorsky Settlement, Tsentralnaya Street, 73, Russian
 158 Federation, ⁸⁵State Nature Reserve Vishersky, 618590, Perm region, Krasnovishersk, Gagarina
 159 street 36B, Russian Federation, ⁸⁶State Nature Reserve Olekminsky, 678100, Republic Sakha,
 160 Olekminsk, Filatova 6, Russian Federation, ⁸⁷Crimea Nature Reserve, 298514, Alushta,
 161 Partizanskaya, 42, Republic of Crimea, ⁸⁸Forest Research Institute Karelian Research Centre
 162 Russian Academy of Sciences, 185910, Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Pushkinskaya 11, Russian
 163 Federation, ⁸⁹Black Sea Biosphere Reserve, 75600, Khersons'ka oblast, Hola Prystan', Mikhail
 164 Lermontov 1, Ukraine, ⁹⁰Institute of Physicochemical and Biological Problems in Soil Sciences
 165 Russian Academy of Science, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Institutskaya 2, Russian
 166 Federation, ⁹¹State Nature Reserve Nurgush, 610002, Kirov, Lenina street, 129a, Russian
 167 Federation, ⁹²Caucasian State Biosphere Reserve of the Ministry of Natural Resources, 385000,
 168 Adygea Republik, Maykop, Sovetskaya str. 187, Russian Federation, ⁹³National Nature Park

169 Vyzhnytskiy, 59200, Chernivtsi Region, Vyzhnytsya District, Berehomet, Street Central 27 a,
 170 Ukraine, ⁹⁴National Park Khvalynsky, 412780, Region Saratov, Khvalynsk Sity, Oktyberskya
 171 Street, 2b, Russian Federation, ⁹⁵State Research Center Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute,
 172 199397, Saint Petersburg, Bering st. 38, Russian Federation, ⁹⁶Information-Analytical Centre for
 173 Protected Areas, 123242, Moscow, Kapranova side-street 3, ⁹⁷State Nature Reserve Malaya Sosva,
 174 628242, Tjumen region, Sovetskiy, Lenina str., 46, Russian Federation, ⁹⁸Krasnoyarsk State
 175 Medical University named after Prof. V.F.Voino-Yasenetsky, 660022, Krasnoyarsk region,
 176 Krasnoyarsk, Partizana Zhelezniaka, 1, Russian Federation, ⁹⁹Surhanskiy State Nature Reserve,
 177 191404, Surhandarja region, Sherabad, Agahi, 1, Uzbekistan, ¹⁰⁰Mordovia State Nature Reserve,
 178 431230, Mordovia Republic, Temnikov region, village Pushta, Russian Federation, ¹⁰¹Centre for
 179 Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology,
 180 N-7491 Trondheim, Norway. ¹⁰²Evgeniya Bukharova, Tatyana Gordeeva, Sergei Sazonov, Andrei
 181 Sivkov, Viktor Teplov, and Vladimir Yakovlev are deceased.

182

183 * Corresponding author: tomas.roslin@slu.se

184

185 **Ongoing climate change can shift organism phenology in ways that vary depending on species,**
186 **habitats, and climate factors studied. To probe for large-scale patterns in associated**
187 **phenological change, we use 70,709 observations from six decades of systematic monitoring**
188 **across the former Soviet Union. Among 110 phenological events related to plants, birds,**
189 **insects, amphibians and fungi, we find a mosaic of change, defying simple predictions of**
190 **earlier springs, later falls and stronger changes at higher latitudes and elevations. Site mean**
191 **temperature emerged as a strong predictor of local phenology, but the magnitude and**
192 **direction of change varied with trophic level and the relative timing of an event. Beyond**
193 **temperature-associated variation, we uncover high variation among both sites and years, with**
194 **some sites being characterized by disproportionately long seasons and others by short ones.**
195 **Our findings emphasize concerns regarding ecosystem integrity and highlight the difficulty of**
196 **predicting climate change outcomes.**

197

198 **Main text**

199 Climate change is restructuring biodiversity across the globe¹. Among key responses to spatial and
200 seasonal changes in ambient temperature are changes in phenology^{2,3} – i.e. changes in season-
201 related life cycle events. At mid- to high latitudes in the northern hemisphere, spring events are
202 generally occurring earlier, whereas autumn events are occurring later, mostly due to rising
203 temperatures^{2,4}. Overall, responses are expected to be faster and more pronounced the higher the
204 latitude or elevation, that is, the lower the average temperatures⁵⁻⁷.

205 Despite rough consensus regarding these general trends and expectations, there are substantial
206 differences in the observed magnitude and direction of phenological responses to climate change
207 among individual species⁸⁻¹⁰, different taxonomic groups and trophic levels^{3,11,12}. Where spring

phenology in terrestrial areas has advanced by a global average of 2.3 to 2.8 days/decade^{2,8,13}, some studies have suggested changes as fast as 30 days per decade¹⁴. Higher rates of advance are possibly associated with lower trophic levels^{3,12}, as perhaps reflecting not only different sensitivity to different drivers among trophic layers, but also different responses in terms of physiological development³. Another factor which may accelerate phenological advance relates to the mean timing of an event within the season: spring-time events may be advancing at higher rates than autumn events¹⁵. On top of species-specific variation, there is also considerable site-specific variation in phenological advance¹⁶. Such variation among sites and populations may arise from a combination of factors, including changes in temperature and other climatic variables, population sizes, genetic differences, phenotypic plasticity, and land use^{16,17}.

A general reason for variability in phenological responses is that patterns of climate change vary substantially across the globe. As the climate of different regions and biomes are changing in somewhat different ways⁵, uniform responses to climate change are hardly to be expected. Regional variation in climate change concern patterns in e.g. overall warming, precipitation and in the seasonal distribution of change⁶. Thus, changes in phenology can be expected to track local changes in the timing of abiotic or climatically-driven events, within the constraints set by the utilization of and sensitivity to cues of the regional species assemblage^{18–20}. Such local and regional variation in drivers^{19,21,22} and sensitivity towards them^{18,20} can be reflected in spatiotemporal variation in phenological shifts^{4,8,15,23,24}. While the few studies analyzing geographically extensive datasets on phenology have observed spatial variation in temporal trends of phenological responses (e.g. ^{25,26}) and their main abiotic drivers^{5,27–29}, the question remains whether patterns of change and response follow major environmental gradients in latitude, photoperiod or temperature^{15,16,30}.

Spatially extensive, long-term data across northern Eurasia

231 Evaluating to what extent shifts in phenological events vary over space³¹, with their annual
232 timing^{3,11,12} or with trophic level^{16,32} requires consistently sampled, community-wide data which are
233 both long-term and spatially extensive^{3,12,16,32}. Such data may also give insights on whether local
234 phenology is influenced by biotic interactions beyond abiotic cues^{7,33,34}. Unfortunately, systematic
235 community-wide data sets are still uncommon, hampering progress in understanding large-scale
236 taxonomic and geographic patterns in phenological change³⁵. The few existing exceptions (e.g.
237 ^{2,4,8,15,36,37}) are built on sparse data and/or combining individual studies using variable methods
238 (e.g.³⁸). However, for such data, both noise and bias may affect the overall pattern detected.
239 Regarding seasonality, most datasets come with a particular bias, since much more interest has been
240 invested in spring- than in autumn-time events^{2,4,39}. This is a problem, since where data are
241 available, phenological events occurring during different times of the year have oftentimes been
242 found to shift differently⁴⁰.

243 Here, we draw on a uniquely comprehensive, long-term database established using uniform
244 protocols across northern Eurasia^{41–43}. As this monitoring effort encompassed the timing of multiple
245 seasonal events as characterizing both climatic variation and a wealth of taxa (plants, birds, insects,
246 amphibians, reptiles and fungi; Fig. 1), it allows us to quantify large-scale patterns in phenological
247 responses over six decades. Specifically, we ask: 1) Has the timing of different phenological events
248 shifted similarly across this vast geographic range, measured in terms of the rate and direction
249 (advance or delay) of change?; 2) To what extent does variation in the rate and direction of change
250 follow major biogeographic gradients, such as latitude, photoperiod, temperature or chilling-degree-
251 days?; 3) To what extent can variation in the rate and direction of change be linked to the relative
252 seasonal timing of the event (early versus late), or to the species' trophic level?; and 4) To what
253 extent does variation in the timing of different events covary locally in ways not attributable to
254 overall patterns, suggesting an effect of biotic interactions (and/or joint responses to unmeasured

255 variables)? When combined, these questions allow us to characterize how different components of
 256 the ecosystem have shifted their phenologies over the last few decades of climate change, which
 257 environmental gradients can explain variation on a large spatial scale, and whether events occurring
 258 during different parts of the season or for different taxa keep pace with each other.

259 To quantify phenological shifts across events, trophic levels and environmental gradients, we fitted
 260 a joint species distribution model⁴¹ treating the dates of the phenological events as the multivariate
 261 response variable. To capture linear shifts in the timing of events, we included year as a fixed
 262 covariate, and to account for phenology varying with environmental or spatial gradients, we
 263 included the linear effect of four environmental descriptors of each study site (i.e., mean annual
 264 temperature, mean annual chilling sum, photoperiod, or latitude), with a separate model fitted for
 265 each descriptor (see below). Additionally, in order to quantify to what extent shifts in phenology
 266 differ along the environmental gradient, we included an interaction term between year and the
 267 environmental descriptor. Variation among sites beyond that explained by the environmental
 268 descriptor was captured by including site as a random factor, and similarly year-to-year variation
 269 beyond any linear trend was modelled by including year as a random factor. All events were
 270 grouped into abiotic (n=28; e.g. the transition of daily average temperatures above a given
 271 threshold, the melt of snow cover, or the breakup of ice on a given water body; Table S1) versus
 272 biotic events (n= 82; e.g. the first occurrence of a fungus species, the onset of blooming in a plant
 273 species, or the end of leaf fall for a tree species; Table S1), with the latter further split according to
 274 the species trophic level, yielding five “trophic levels” (producers, n=54; primary consumers, n=4;
 275 secondary consumers, n=22; and saprotrophs, n=2; Fig. 1; Table S1). To evaluate how the
 276 multivariate response (i.e. dates of the phenological events) varies within year for each trophic
 277 level, we further included as explanatory variables the trophic level, the mean timing of the event
 278 across all sites, and their interaction. Since we treated the phenological events as the “species” of

our joint species distribution model, we treated their characteristics (i.e. the trophic level or the mean timing of the event) as its “traits”, just as one would model e.g. the body size or feeding guild of a species as its traits^{41,43}. Thus, the explanatory variables were allowed to affect how the events respond to the fixed effects of year, the environmental descriptor (i.e., mean annual temperature, mean annual chilling sum, photoperiod, or latitude), and their interaction^{5,6,8}. The periodic nature of seasons over time was captured by including the mean timing of the event as the linear effects of its cosine and sine transformations (see Methods for more details).

To examine the impact of different descriptors of climatic conditions at each site, we fitted four alternatives models to the data. Each model was identical in structure, but used an alternative climatic descriptor of the sampling sites. The specific metrics used were (1) the mean annual temperature in each study location, (2) the mean annual chilling sum (sum of degree days for those days for which the temperature is below zero), (3) the photoperiod (measured as the difference between the longest and shortest days of the year), and (4) the latitude of the site, with data sources for (1)–(3) identified in the Methods section. We fitted four separate models, rather than including all drivers into a single model, because all four drivers are highly correlated. Thus, their simultaneous inclusion in a single model would be of limited added value (in terms of variation “accounted for”), whereas it would compromise the interpretability of the results.

How phenology is shifting across a continent

All models provided adequate descriptions of the data, as evidenced by a high mean explanatory power: averaged over the events R^2 was 74% for the model with latitude and 75% for all other models. We note that the reason why all models had similarly high explanatory power was that the part of the site-specific variation not explained by the climatic descriptor of the site was captured by the random effect of the site. The proportion of explained variation attributed to the climatic descriptor was 36% for the mean annual temperature of the site, 24% for the chilling sum, 27% for

303 the photoperiod, and 28% for the latitude (Extended Data Fig 2). Because mean annual temperature
304 was the best predictor in the sense of explaining the most variation, we report the results of that
305 model in the main text. All four models yielded qualitatively consistent results (Extended Data Figs.
306 1-8).

307 The explanatory power was greater for spring events than for autumn events, except for abiotic
308 events (see Table S1) where it was consistently high (Fig. 2a for the model with mean temperature;
309 Extended Data Figure 1 for other models). Averaged over the different events, 36.0% of the
310 explained variance was attributed to the site mean temperature, 2.8% to the linear effect of year and
311 its interaction with the temperature, 41.5% to the random effect of the site (i.e. variation among
312 sites not explained by mean temperature), 13.4% to the random effect of the year (i.e. variation
313 among years not explained by linear trends), and 6.4% to the random effect of the sample (i.e.
314 synchronous variation at the level of site-year combinations; Fig. 2b).

315 Spring events tend to occur earlier and autumn events tend to occur later at warmer (typically lower
316 latitude or elevation) sites, creating a longer activity period or growing season, as reflected by a
317 strong negative effect of temperature on spring event timing and strong positive effect for autumn
318 event timing (Fig. 3a, b). For a large proportion (54%) of all events, we found strong statistical
319 support (at least 95% posterior probability) for a shift towards an earlier date, whereas fewer events
320 (10%) showed strong statistical support for a shift towards a later date (Fig. 3a). As examples of
321 events shifting towards an earlier date, we find the break of ice cover, the first blooming of many
322 plants (from Tussilago, *Tussilago farfara*, to the Lily of the valley, *Convallaria majalis*), the first
323 flight of bumblebees, *Bombus*, and the first occurrence of a range of bird species (ranging from the
324 swift *Apus apus* to the crane *Grus grus*). Among events shifting towards a later date, we find the
325 formation of snow and ice cover, the timing of autumn colours and leaf fall in several trees (from
326 aspen *Populus tremula* to birch *Betula pendula*), and – perhaps oddly enough – the spring-time

327 awakening of the brown bear, *Ursus arctos*. Specifically, spring events showed the strongest shift
 328 towards earlier dates, whereas autumn events showed the strongest shifts towards later dates (Fig.
 329 3c). This was particularly evident for primary producers, which overall showed stronger shifts in
 330 both directions; i.e. plants advanced early or delayed late events faster than did higher trophic
 331 levels. By comparison, the abiotic events showed even stronger shifts in both directions for both
 332 temperature and year effects. Thus, abiotic change, consumers and producers slide apart as springs
 333 shift earlier and autumns later.

334 Additionally, shifts were more positive at warmer sites for some events (18% showed strong
 335 statistical support; Fig. 3d), but more negative for others (15%; Fig. 3d), with no clear pattern over
 336 time or across trophic levels. Among those events that showed a strong statistical support for an
 337 overall shift to earlier, 17% showed a strong statistical support for shifting to earlier especially in
 338 cold sites and 16% in warm sites (Fig. 3e). Among those events that showed a strong statistical
 339 support for an overall shift to later, 11% showed a strong statistical support for shifting to later
 340 especially in cold sites and 22% in warm sites (Fig. 3e). As concrete examples of events which have
 341 actually shifted later at cold sites but earlier at warm sites, we find the first spring rain, the onset of
 342 blooming in Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) and Marsh Labrador tea (*Rhododendron tomentosum*, syn.
 343 *Ledum palustre*), and the first song of the skylark (*Alauda arvensis*). Among events shifting earlier
 344 at cold sites but later at warm sites, we find e.g. the arrival of the rook (*Corvus frugilegus*), and the
 345 ripening of blueberry (*Vaccinium myrtillus*) and lingonberry (*Vaccinium vitis-idaea*).

346 The random effect of site was positively associated both within spring and within autumn events,
 347 but negatively associated between spring and autumn events (Fig. 4a); i.e., at any given site, spring
 348 events tended to occur particularly early while all autumn events particularly late, or vice versa,
 349 after accounting for the effect of site mean temperature. This pattern remained qualitatively
 350 unchanged when replacing mean temperature with other environmental descriptors (mean chilling

351 sums, photoperiod or latitude; see Extended Data Fig. 6). Thus, summer – in the sense of the
352 biologically active period – tends to be particularly long at some sites and particularly short at other
353 sites, a pattern which is only partly captured by simple environmental gradients (for potential
354 explanations, see below). The random effect of year was positively correlated among events overall,
355 but especially among spring events, meaning that some years are characterized by early spring
356 phenology and others by late spring phenology across all events and trophic levels (Fig. 4b).
357 Perhaps most interestingly, though, the random effect at the level of the sampling unit (i.e. the site-
358 by-year combination) showed positive association among most phenological events. Thus, a large
359 majority of all phenological events within a given site in a given year tended to be consistently
360 “early” or “late” to an extent unexplicable by the effect of the year as such (Fig. 4c) – a pattern
361 suggesting that some other factor may be orchestrating the relative timing of events at the level of
362 the local community.

363 **Striking variation over space, species and trophic levels**

364 Our results highlight considerable spatial, seasonal and trophic heterogeneity in the magnitude and
365 direction of shifts in phenological timing. While much of the large-scale variation can be attributed
366 to a simple environmental gradient, phenological responses to ongoing climate change can
367 idiosyncratically cause advance or delay over time within regions, and among sites and trophic
368 levels. The strong effect of site beyond the effect of mean temperature (as shown by the overall
369 partitioning of variance in our model; Figs. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 2) importantly qualifies the
370 expectation of a strong and general pattern of phenology change along latitudinal or other
371 environmental gradients¹⁷. Yet, it is consistent with our previous work¹⁷ showing that phenological
372 plasticity is constrained by local differentiation in reaction norms to temperature cues⁴⁴, i.e., by
373 local adaptation (see also^{18,45}). Under such a scenario, we would indeed expect site-specific
374 variation in phenology beyond patterns attributable to average temperature or its change over time.

375 However, it is worth noting that different abiotic events (such as snowmelt, ice formation and ice
376 break) likewise vary differently with mean temperature, change differently over time, and remain
377 cross-correlated even when temperature has been accounted for (Figs. 3, 4). In other words, early
378 sites tend to remain early, and late sites tend to remain late, both with respect to the biotic and
379 abiotic components of the local ecosystems. Most interestingly, the overall timing of events tends to
380 be more synchronized than suggested by the overall effect of year. The strong dominance of
381 positive residual associations between event-pairs at the random sample level (Figs. 4c and
382 Extended Data Fig. 8) implies that an early date of one given event is associated with an earlier date
383 in another *beyond* the advance expected by the overall earliness of the year. Residual covariance at
384 this level could potentially be interpreted as a sign of a synchronizing effect of biotic interactions
385 among species. In doing so, we should naturally exercise the same caution as whenever statistically
386 observed associations are interpreted as biotic interactions^{41,43}. It is also worth noting that beyond
387 biotic interactions, this result is likely to partly reflect the synchronizing effect of abiotic conditions
388 which affect multiple events, as well as the fact that different phenophases within one and the same
389 species are interconnected with each other (e.g a migratory bird species cannot breed without first
390 arriving, so the timing of breeding is constrained by the timing of arriving). Regarding the latter
391 type of dependencies, we note that while we scored two or more separate phenological events in
392 several species (Supplementary Information, Table S1), such repeated measures concerned far too
393 few species to account for the vast predominance of positive residual associations observed overall.
394 Thus, the pattern observed may be seen as suggestive, but clearly inconclusive of evidence for an
395 imprint of biotic interactions on community-level phenology^{7,33,34}.

396 Overall, strong phenological shifts over time occur not in lieu of but on top of the imprints of
397 environmental gradients of mean temperature, photoperiod and latitude^{5,6,8}. That is, strong added
398 imprints of site on local phenology change might stem from spatial variation in additional factors

399 varying more idiosyncratically in space, rather than as smooth gradients. Species respond to
400 multiple climatic dimensions over time^{46,47}, and the effects of climate on phenology may further
401 vary with habitat⁴⁸, urbanization^{49,50}, and precipitation^{5,6}. Thus, an anthropogenic-induced shift in
402 the macroclimate^{4,5,15,32}, potentially leading to large-scale environmental changes along latitudes⁵¹
403 or other geographical gradients, may be further modified by the local changes that species are
404 responding to customized by the local conditions that they have adapted to. Such added impacts
405 could limit the scope for space-for-time substitutions¹⁶, and leads us to warn against uncritical
406 extrapolation of trends observed among sites, trophic levels, or from one region to another⁵.

407 As proposed by Burrows et al.^{17,52}, the observed patterns of shifts in phenology should be compared
408 to patterns in the velocity and seasonal shift of climate change. In this context, we note that our
409 current data include both abiotic climate-related events and biotic responses, with the former
410 shifting more than the latter. These disparities between the rates of change in the abiotic
411 environment and species responses match previous observations that current phenological plasticity
412 is not keeping pace with variation in climatic conditions^{3,17,18,22,53–56}. Mismatches between the
413 velocity of climate change and realised seasonal shifts may help identify regions of concern, e.g.
414 where phenology change is lagging behind species thermal niches.

415 **Ecosystem integrity imperilled yet understudied**

416 Our findings emphasize concerns regarding ecosystem integrity, as the velocity of change in space
417 and time differed between interacting trophic levels. Combined with different responses among
418 events over different parts of the season, this variation can lead to temporal mismatches between
419 species^{1,11,56} and potentially affect community structure and persistence. Importantly, a shift in the
420 timing of an event related to one life-stage may propagate to another, but our current dataset holds
421 limited resolution towards resolving such knock-on effects. To establish whether the stability and
422 persistence of natural systems is ultimately affected by the loss of phenological synchrony at one

stage, or within food webs, we need a much-improved understanding of the interplay between spatial, trophic, species- and event-specific patterns in phenological responses (e.g. ³⁵). Accumulated negative effects of rapid seasonal shifts across many species may threaten biodiversity^{14,57,58}. Surprisingly enough, our current findings suggest that phenological shifts during the last few decades may differ between warmer and colder locations across our study area. In this context, we stress that our estimates concern absolute, not relative, rates of change. Counterweighing the interpretation of stronger shifts at warmer (thus more southern or lower elevations) sites is the fact that phenology is naturally constrained by the overall length of the season. At high latitudes, i.e. in colder regions, a shift of a few days may amount to a considerable part of the full growing season. As polar areas warm at faster rates, resident organisms might lose major parts of their phenological niches ²¹. In contrast, areas of slower seasonal shifts, and smaller relative shifts, may be important repositories for both biodiversity and ecosystem integrity⁵. Our current study provides a first attempt in this direction, and will hopefully inspire more work to come.

436

437 **References**

- 438 1. Pecl, G. T. *et al.* Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human
439 well-being. *Science* **355**, eaai9214- (2017).
- 440 2. Parmesan, C., Yohe, G. & Andrus, J. E. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts
441 across natural systems. *Nature* **421**, 37–42 (2003).
- 442 3. Thackeray, S. J. *et al.* Trophic level asynchrony in rates of phenological change for marine, freshwater
443 and terrestrial environments. *Global Change Biology* **16**, 3304–3313 (2010).
- 444 4. Root, T. L. *et al.* Fingerprints of global warming on wild animals and plants. *Nature* **421**, 57–60
445 (2003).
- 446 5. Burrows, M. T. *et al.* The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Science* **334**,
447 652–655 (2011).
- 448 6. IPCC. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth*
449 *Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. (Cambridge University Press,
450 2013).

- 451 7. Pau, S. *et al.* Predicting phenology by integrating ecology, evolution and climate science. *Global*
452 *Change Biology* **17**, 3633–3643 (2011).
- 453 8. Parmesan, C. Influences of species, latitudes and methodologies on estimates of phenological
454 response to global warming. *Global Change Biology* **13**, 1860–1872 (2007).
- 455 9. Both, C., van Asch, M., Bijlsma, R. G., van den Burg, A. B. & Visser, M. E. Climate change and unequal
456 phenological changes across four trophic levels: Constraints or adaptations? *Journal of Animal*
457 *Ecology* **78**, 73–83 (2009).
- 458 10. Cook, B. I., Wolkovich, E. M. & Parmesan, C. Divergent responses to spring and winter warming
459 drive community level flowering trends. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
460 *United States of America* **109**, 9000–9005 (2012).
- 461 11. Voigt, W. *et al.* Trophic levels are differentially sensitive to climate. *Ecology* **84**, 2444–2453 (2003).
- 462 12. Thackeray, S. J. *et al.* Phenological sensitivity to climate across taxa and trophic levels. *Nature* **535**,
463 241–245 (2016).
- 464 13. Cohen, J. M., Lajeunesse, M. J. & Rohr, J. R. A global synthesis of animal phenological responses to
465 climate change. *Nature Climate Change* **8**, 224–228 (2018).
- 466 14. Høye, T. T., Post, E., Møltøfte, H., Schmidt, N. M. & Forchhammer, M. C. Rapid advancement of
467 spring in the High Arctic. *Current Biology* **17**, R449–R451 (2007).
- 468 15. Menzel, A., Sparks, T. H., Estrella, N. & Roy, D. B. Altered geographic and temporal variability in
469 phenology in response to climate change. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **15**, 498–504 (2006).
- 470 16. Primack, R. B. *et al.* Spatial and interspecific variability in phenological responses to warming
471 temperatures. *Biological Conservation* **142**, 2569–2577 (2009).
- 472 17. Delgado, M. M. *et al.* Differences in spatial versus temporal reaction norms for spring and autumn
473 phenological events. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
474 *America* **Accepted**, (2020).
- 475 18. Renner, S. S. & Zohner, C. M. Climate change and phenological mismatch in trophic interactions
476 among plants, insects, and vertebrates. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **49**, 165–182
477 (2018).
- 478 19. Ackerly, D. D. *et al.* The geography of climate change: Implications for conservation biogeography.
479 *Diversity and Distributions* **16**, 476–487 (2010).
- 480 20. Zohner, C. M., Benito, B. M., Fridley, J. D., Svenning, J. C. & Renner, S. S. Spring predictability
481 explains different leaf-out strategies in the woody floras of North America, Europe and East Asia.
482 *Ecology Letters* vol. 20 452–460 (2017).
- 483 21. Loarie, S. R. *et al.* The velocity of climate change. *Nature* **462**, 1052–1055 (2009).
- 484 22. Visser, M. E. & Both, C. Shifts in phenology due to global climate change: The need for a yardstick.
485 *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **272**, 2561–2569 (2005).
- 486 23. Hurlbert, A. H. & Liang, Z. Spatiotemporal variation in avian migration phenology: Citizen science
487 reveals effects of climate change. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e31662- (2012).

- 488 24. Rubolini, D., Møller, A. P., Rainio, K. & Lehikoinen, E. Intraspecific consistency and geographic
489 variability in temporal trends of spring migration phenology among European bird species. *Climate*
490 *Research* **35**, 135–146 (2007).
- 491 25. Cohen, J. M., Lajeunesse, M. J. & Rohr, J. R. A global synthesis of animal phenological responses to
492 climate change. *Nature Climate Change* **8**, 224–228 (2018).
- 493 26. Geng, X. *et al.* Climate warming increases spring phenological differences among temperate trees.
494 *Global Change Biology* doi.org/10.1111/GCB.15301- (2020) doi:10.1111/gcb.15301.
- 495 27. Both, C. *et al.* Large-scale geographical variation confirms that climate change causes birds to lay
496 earlier. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **271**, 1657–1662 (2004).
- 497 28. Menzel, A. & Fabian, P. Growing season extended in Europe. *Nature* **397**, 659- (1999).
- 498 29. Körner, C. & Basler, D. Phenology under global warming. *Science* **327**, 1461–1462 (2010).
- 499 30. Flynn, D. F. B. & Wolkovich, E. M. Temperature and photoperiod drive spring phenology across all
500 species in a temperate forest community. *New Phytologist* **219**, 1353–1362 (2018).
- 501 31. Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* Community-level phenological response to climate change. *Proceedings of the*
502 *National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **110**, 13434–13439 (2013).
- 503 32. Doi, H. & Takahashi, M. Latitudinal patterns in the phenological responses of leaf colouring and leaf
504 fall to climate change in Japan. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **17**, 556–561 (2008).
- 505 33. Elzinga, J. A. *et al.* Time after time: flowering phenology and biotic interactions. *Trends in Ecology*
506 *and Evolution* vol. 22 432–439 (2007).
- 507 34. Vilela, A. A., del Claro, V. T. S., Torezan-Silingardi, H. M. & Del-Claro, K. Climate changes affecting
508 biotic interactions, phenology, and reproductive success in a savanna community over a 10-year
509 period. *Arthropod-Plant Interactions* **12**, 215–227 (2018).
- 510 35. Kharouba, H. M. & Wolkovich, E. M. Disconnects between ecological theory and data in
511 phenological mismatch research. *Nature Climate Change* vol. 10 406–415 (2020).
- 512 36. Walther, G.-R. *et al.* Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* **416**, 389–395 (2002).
- 513 37. Ge, Q., Wang, H., Rutishauser, T. & Dai, J. Phenological response to climate change in China: A meta-
514 analysis. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 265–274 (2015).
- 515 38. Gallinat, A. S., Primack, R. B. & Wagner, D. L. Autumn, the neglected season in climate change
516 research. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **30**, 169–176 (2015).
- 517 39. Menzel, A. *et al.* European phenological response to climate change matches the warming pattern.
518 *Global Change Biology* **12**, 1969–1976 (2006).
- 519 40. Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* Chronicles of nature calendar, a long-term and large-scale multitaxon database
520 on phenology. *Scientific Data* **7**, 47- (2020).
- 521 41. Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* How to make more out of community data? A conceptual framework and its
522 implementation as models and software. *Ecology Letters* **20**, 561–576 (2017).

- 523 42. Tikhonov, G. *et al.* Joint species distribution modelling with the R-package HMSC. *Methods in*
524 *Ecology and Evolution* **11**, 442–447 (2019).
- 525 43. Ovaskainen, O. & Abrego, N. *Joint Species Distribution Modelling With Applications in R.* (Cambridge
526 University Press , 2020).
- 527 44. Phillimore, A. B., Hadfield, J. D., Jones, O. R. & Smithers, R. J. Differences in spawning date between
528 populations of common frog reveal local adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
529 *Sciences of the United States of America* **107**, 8292–8297 (2010).
- 530 45. Garcia, R. A., Cabeza, M., Rahbek, C. & Araújo, M. B. Multiple dimensions of climate change and
531 their implications for biodiversity. *Science* **344**, 1247579–1 (2014).
- 532 46. Kudo, G. & Hirao, A. S. Habitat-specific responses in the flowering phenology and seed set of alpine
533 plants to climate variation: Implications for global-change impacts. *Population Ecology* **48**, 49–58
534 (2006).
- 535 47. Altermatt, F. Temperature-related shifts in butterfly phenology depend on the habitat. *Global*
536 *Change Biology* **18**, 2429–2438 (2012).
- 537 48. Neil, K. & Wu, J. Effects of urbanization on plant flowering phenology: A review. *Urban Ecosystems*
538 **9**, 243–257 (2006).
- 539 49. Shen, M., Piao, S., Cong, N., Zhang, G. & Jassens, I. A. Precipitation impacts on vegetation spring
540 phenology on the Tibetan Plateau. *Global Change Biology* **21**, 3647–3656 (2015).
- 541 50. Zhang, J. *et al.* Rapid shifts of peak flowering phenology in 12 species under the effects of extreme
542 climate events in Macao. *Scientific Reports* **8**, 13950- (2018).
- 543 51. Chuine, I. Why does phenology drive species distribution? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
544 *Society B: Biological Sciences* **365**, 3149–3160 (2010).
- 545 52. Radchuk, V. *et al.* Adaptive responses of animals to climate change are most likely insufficient.
546 *Nature Communications* **10**, 3109- (2019).
- 547 53. Harrington, R., Woiod, I. & Sparks, T. Climate change and trophic interactions. *Trends in Ecology &*
548 *Evolution* **14**, 146–150 (1999).
- 549 54. Carter, S. K., Saenz, D. & Rudolf, V. H. W. Shifts in phenological distributions reshape interaction
550 potential in natural communities. *Ecology Letters* **21**, 1143–1151 (2018).
- 551 55. Rudolf, V. H. W. The role of seasonal timing and phenological shifts for species coexistence. *Ecology*
552 *Letters* **22**, 1324–1338 (2019).
- 553 56. Kharouba, H. M. *et al.* Global shifts in the phenological synchrony of species interactions over recent
554 decades. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**,
555 5211–5216 (2018).
- 556 57. Høye, T. T., Post, E., Schmidt, N. M., Trøjelsgaard, K. & Forchhammer, M. C. Shorter flowering
557 seasons and declining abundance of flower visitors in a warmer Arctic. *Nature Climate Change* **3**,
558 759–763 (2013).

559 58. Schmidt, N. M. *et al.* An ecological function in crisis? The temporal overlap between plant flowering
560 and pollinator function shrinks as the Arctic warms. *Ecography* **39**, 1250–1252 (2016).

561

562 **Methods**

563 We used data from Ovaskainen *et al.*⁵⁹, who compiled dates of phenological events (for concrete
564 examples, see Supplementary Information, Table T1.1) systematically recorded for multiple
565 taxonomic groups in 471 localities across the Russian Federation, Ukraine, Uzbekistan, Belarus and
566 Kyrgyzstan. In addition, the database includes dates on climatic events recorded at each locality
567 (e.g., ice formation and first day of snowfall). The data were curated by EarthCape⁶⁰ and published
568 on Zenodo⁵⁹. From this set, we selected events for which there were at least 100 data points in total,
569 and at least 10 data points from at least 10 sites. Further, we only included sites that were at least 25
570 km apart, and sampling units for which there were at least 10 events recorded. All anthropogenic
571 events, such as dates for sowing and harvest, were excluded from this analysis. This process yielded
572 70,709 phenological observations for 110 events across 113 locations (Fig. 1). The data included 52
573 taxa and four types of meteorological events (Supplementary Info, Table S1.1), and covered the
574 period between 1960-2017 (earlier records were excluded), spanning 43.08°–67.82° latitude and
575 21.15°–136.15° longitude.

576 The sampling units consist of (site, year) pairs. We denote by y_{ij} the date on which the
577 phenological event j took place in sampling unit i . These are combined in the data matrix $\mathbf{Y} = \{y_{ij}\}$
578 with $j = 1, \dots, n_s = 110$ events recorded in $i = 1, \dots, n_y = 2789$ sampling units. The data consist
579 of 70,709 dates, so that 77% of the entries in the \mathbf{Y} matrix are missing (NA) due to not all events
580 being recorded in all sites and in all years. To these data, we fitted Hierarchical Modeling of
581 Species Communities (HMSC) models^{41,43}. HMSC is a multivariate Bayesian generalized linear
582 mixed modelling framework, which allowed us to account for both correlation among the

phenological events included in the models, as well as for the spatio-temporal structure of the study design^{41–43}. Additionally, HMSC allows including trait information to inform species-level responses to model covariates⁴¹ – in our case, event-level responses. Here, we summarize our rationale and inference, while an in-depth description of the HMSC model fitted is provided in Supplementary Information, Text S2.

As predictors related to each sampling unit i , we included the linear effect of year of the sampling, aimed to capture temporal shifts in phenology which are the main focus of this study. To account for phenological variation due to climatic conditions, as well as to capture any systematic variation in the phenological shifts as a function of climatic conditions, we also included a variable describing the overall (not year-specific) climatic conditions at each study site, and its interaction with the linear effect of year. As climatic descriptor, we considered four alternative variables based on²⁹, who identified the three most important factors controlling phenology in primary producers as the degree of winter chilling, photoperiod (day length relative to night length), and temperature. We thus derived descriptors of spatial variation in each of these three variables, and showed that our main results were upheld across each (Extended Data Figs. 1-8). Specifically, these were (1) the mean annual temperature, (2) the mean annual chilling sum (calculated as the sum of degree days for those days for which the temperature is below zero), and (3) the photoperiod measured as the difference between the longest and shortest days of the year, for each site. In addition, we considered (4) the absolute latitude of the site, as a standard descriptor of space as such in both phenological⁶¹ and other biogeographic analyses⁶². We note that photoperiod is a function of latitude, but that the two are non-linearly related to each other. For this reason, we included latitude *per se* as a separate, potential climatic descriptor. To compute the mean annual temperature and the mean annual chilling sum, we used the ERA5-Land data (representing the period 1979-2019)^{63,64}. To calculate the photoperiod, we used the daylength function of the R-package geosphere⁶⁵.

607 To examine how the shifts and their dependency on the climatic conditions co-varied among
 608 different types of phenological events, we also included event-level predictors (i.e., species traits in
 609 the HMSC framework): (1) the mean timing of each event (calculated as the mean day of the year
 610 over the entire data set); and (2) the trophic level of the species for which the phenological event
 611 was recorded (classified as producers, primary consumers, secondary consumers, and saprotrophs, or
 612 abiotic events). To account for the periodic nature of seasons over time, we included the mean
 613 timing of each event as the linear effect of its cosine and sine transformations. To account for the
 614 nature of the study design and to evaluate co-variation among the phenological events, we included
 615 three random effects for the site, the year, and the sampling unit (i.e. year-site pairs). All of these
 616 were modelled at the community-level, using the latent variable approach of HMSC^{41,43}. This
 617 allowed us to assess the relationships among phenological events that could not be explained by
 618 responses to the main covariates, and for each of the three random effect levels included in our
 619 models. For a more technical description of the HMSC model, we refer the reader to ^{41–43}.
 620 We fitted the models with package Hmsc⁴² in R⁶⁶ assuming the default prior distributions (see^{42,43}).
 621 We performed posterior sampling for four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains, each of
 622 which we sampled for 375,000 iterations, out of which we discarded the first 125,000 as a transient
 623 and thinned the remaining by 1000, thus yielding 250 samples per chain and 1000 samples in total.
 624 To evaluate MCMC convergence, we examined the distributions of the potential scale reduction
 625 factor over the parameters related to the fixed effects (β –parameters) and the random effects (Ω -
 626 parameters), equivalent to the Gelman-Rubin statistic⁶⁷.
 627 Overall, we note that our aim is to quantify spatial variation in the rates (slope) of phenological
 628 change over time, not to identify the specific drivers of the timing of each individual event. To
 629 emphasize this point, we stress that we regressed phenological timing on year and on descriptors of
 630 each site’s position in physical (latitude) or environmental space (average temperature, average sum

631 of chilling degree days or photoperiod), not on year-to-year variation in some specific driver. What
632 our analyses show is thus that trophic level and seasonal timing of an event affect how it has shifted
633 over time, and that the rate of shift is further affected by its position along the biogeographic
634 gradient, whereas the exact descriptor of this gradient has little impact on the results (Extended Data
635 Figs. 1–8).

636

637 **Reporting summary**

638 Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in Nature Research
639 Reporting Summary linked to this article.

640

641 **Data availability**

642 The data that support the findings of this study are available in ^{40,59}, with the exact subset of the data
643 used in the present analyses available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3774386>.

644

645 **Code availability**

646 The code needed to replicate the current analyses, from data extraction to parameter estimates
647 presented, is available at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3774386>.

648

649 **References**

- 650 59. Ovaskainen, O. et al. Chronicles of Nature Calendar, a long-term and large-scale multitaxon
651 database on phenology. *Zenodo* <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3607556>- (2020).
- 652 60. Meyke, E. When data management meets project management. *Biodiversity Information*
653 *Science and Standards* 3, e37224- (2019).

61. Chmura, H. E. *et al.* The mechanisms of phenology: the patterns and processes of phenological shifts. *Ecological Monographs* vol. 89 (2019).
62. Willig, M. R. & Presley, S. J. Latitudinal gradients of biodiversity: Theory and Empirical Patterns. in *Encyclopedia of the Anthropocene* vol. 3 13–19 (Elsevier, 2017).
63. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) (2019): C3S ERA5-Land reanalysis . Copernicus Climate Change Service, accessed July 12, 2020. <https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/home>.
64. Hersbach, H. *et al.* The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* (2020) doi:10.1002/qj.3803.
65. Hijmans, R. J., Williams, E. & Vennes, C. geosphere: Spherical Trigonometry. R package version 1.5-10. (2019).
66. R Core Team. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2018).
67. Gelman, A. *et al.* *Bayesian Data Analysis*. (2013).

Acknowledgements

The field work was conducted as part of the monitoring program of nature reserves, Chronicles of Nature. The work was financially supported by the Academy of Finland, grants 250243 (OO), 284601 (OO), 309581 (OO); the European Research Council, ERC Starting Grant 205905 (OO) and Synergy Grant 856506 — LIFEPLAN (to OO and TR); Nordic Environment Finance Corporation Grant (OO); Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation Grant (OO, TR, MH, LA); University of Helsinki HiLIFE Fellow Grant 2017–2020 (OO); and the Research Council of Norway through its Centres of Excellence Funding Scheme (223257) to OO via Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics; the Kone Foundation 44-6977 (MD) and 55-14839 (GT); a Spanish Ramon y Cajal grant RYC-2014-16263 (MD); the Federal Budget for the Forest Research Institute of Karelian Research Centre Russian Academy of Sciences 220-2017-0003, 0220-2017-0005 (LV, SS and JK); the Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grant 16-08-00510 (LK), and the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation 0017-2019-0009 (Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics, Russian Academy

682 of Sciences) (NI, MSh). The authors wish to extend their particular thanks to additional colleagues
683 contributing to data collection, especially A. Beshkarev, G. Bushmakova, T. Butorina, L. Chrevova,
684 A. Esipov, N. Gordienko, E. Kireeva, V. Koltsova, I. Kurakina, V. Likhvar, I. Likhvar, D.
685 Mirsaitov, M. Nanynets, L. Ovcharenko, L. Rassohina, E. Romanova, A. Shelekhov, N. Shirshova,
686 D. Sizhko, I. Sorokin, H. Subota, V. Syzhko, G. Talanova, P. Valizer and A. Zakusov.

687

688 **Author Contributions**

689 The data were collected by the 195 authors starting from Marina Abadonova and ending with
690 Tatyana Zubina in the author list. Juri Kurhinen, Evgeniy Meyke, Coong Lo, Gleb Tikhonov and
691 Eliezer Gurarie contributed to the establishment and coordination of the collaborative network and
692 to the compilation and curation of the resulting dataset. Tomas Roslin, Otso Ovaskainen, Laura
693 Antão, Maria Hällfors, and Maria del Mar Delgado conceived of the idea behind the current study
694 and wrote the first draft of the paper, with Otso Ovaskainen conducting the analyses. All authors
695 provided useful comments on earlier drafts.

696


697 **Competing Interests**

698 The authors declare no competing interests.

699

700

Number of data points over time



Abiotic events 31 data points



Producer 95 data points



Primary consumer 83 data points





Secondary consumer 91 data points



Saprotrophs 16 data points




Mean temperature °C



701

702 **Figure 1. Illustration of the study design and the extent of data.** Individual panels show **top-left:**
 703 the amount of phenological data per year included in the study; **top and middle:** the spatial
 704 provenance of data of each type; and **bottom:** mean annual temperature for each sampling location.
 705 All events were classified into four trophic levels according to the organism expressing the event:
 706 primary producers (plants), primary consumers (herbivorous insects), secondary consumers
 707 (predatory insects, amphibians, birds, mammals), and saprotrophs (fungi, as commonly feeding on
 708 the remains of multiple other levels).


709



710

711 **Figure 2. Explanatory power (a) and variance partitioning (b) of the HMSC-model with mean**
 712 **annual temperature as explanatory variable. a,** Degree of determination (R^2) as a function of the
 713 timing of the event (mean day of the year when the event occurs) and of the trophic level of the
 714 organism expressing the event (shown by curves in different colours). Here, curves show second-
 715 order models fitted to groups with at least 5 events; continuous lines show mean model prediction
 716 and dashed lines ± 1 standard error. **b,** Partitioning of the overall variance of the data into the
 717 different model components. Here, individual events have been sorted from the earliest to the latest
 718 (left to right along abscissa).

719




720

721 **Figure 3. Responses of the events to the fixed effects of mean temperature and year.** a, Cases
 722 for which the response is positive (red) or negative (blue) with at least 95% posterior probability,
 723 with the events ordered according to their mean date (increasing from top to bottom). The
 724 covariates year and temperature have been normalized to have zero mean, so the main effect of the
 725 year relates to a site with average temperature, and the main effect of temperature relates to data
 726 points collected at the middle of the study period. b, c, d Dependency of event-specific responses
 727 on phenological timing (mean day of the year when the event occurs) and on the trophic level of the
 728 organism expressing the event (shown by curves in different colours for those groups with at least 5
 729 events). e, Dependency of the response to year x temperature on the response to year. The four
 730 quadrats correspond to events that have shifted to earlier especially at cold sites (EC), shifted to
 731 earlier especially at warm sites (EW), shifted to later especially at cold sites (LC), and shifted to
 732 later especially at warm sites (LW). Filled symbols indicate cases that are either positive or negative
 733 with at least 95% posterior probability. For the effect of year, we show responses in days per year,
 734 so that a value of -0.2 represents a shift of two days earlier per decade. For the effect of

735 temperature, the unit is days per degree Celsius, so that a value of -4 means that the event takes
736 place 8 days earlier in a location with mean temperature 4°C compared to a location with mean
737 temperature of 2°C.


738



739

740 **Figure 4. Residual associations among events related to random effects. a,b,c** Associations at
 741 the level of the site (a), the year (b) and the sample (site×year; c) The events have been ordered
 742 according to their mean date (increasing from left to right, and from top to bottom), and estimates of
 743 events associations are measured by residual correlation. Shown in colour are associations showing
 744 a positive (red) or negative (blue) association with at least 95% posterior probability, with
 745 remaining cases indicated in white.


746



747

748 **Extended Data Fig. 1: Variance partitioning of alternative HMSC-models.** Plots show the
 749 partitioning of the overall variance of the data into the model components identified in the figure
 750 legend. Individual panels show results for models with identical structure but using alternative
 751 climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note that the top-left panel corresponds to Fig. 2b of the
 752 main text. Silhouettes adapted from <https://thenounproject.com>.

753




754

755 **Extended Data Fig. 2: Explanatory power of alternative HMSC-models.** Plots show the degree
 756 of determination (R^2) as a function of the timing of the event (mean day of the year when the event
 757 occurs) and the trophic level of the organism expressing the event (different colours). Curves show
 758 second-order models fitted to groups with at least 5 events; continuous lines show mean model
 759 prediction and dashed lines \pm one standard error. Individual panels show results for models with
 760 identical structure but using alternative climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note that the top-

761 left panel corresponds to Fig. 2a of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from
762 <https://thenounproject.com>.

763




764

765 **Extended Data Fig. 3: The sign of responses of phenological events to the fixed effects**

766 **included in the HMSC model.** Plots show cases for which the response is positive (red) or

767 negative (blue) with at least 95% posterior probability. Events have been ordered according to their
768 mean date (increasing from top to bottom). The covariates have been normalized to have zero mean,
769 so that the main effect of the climatic descriptor relates to a data point collected at the middle of the
770 study period, and the main effect of the year relates to a site with an average value of the climatic
771 descriptor. Individual panels show results for models with identical structure but using alternative
772 climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note that the top-left panel corresponds to Fig. 3a of the
773 main text. Silhouettes adapted from <https://thenounproject.com>.

774




775

776 **Extended Data Fig. 4: Dependency of event-specific responses on phenological timing and on**
 777 **the trophic level of the organism expressing the event.** Individual sections show results for
 778 models with identical structure but using alternative climatic descriptors of the sampling sites; note
 779 that the top-left section corresponds to Fig. 3b–e of the main text. Within each section, that is for
 780 each model, individual panels show the dependency of event-specific responses on phenological

781 timing (mean day of the year when the event occurs) and on the trophic level of the organism
782 expressing the event (shown by curves in different colours for those groups with at least 5 events).
783 The covariates have been normalized to have zero mean, so that the main effect of the climatic
784 descriptor relates to a data point collected at the middle of the study period, and the main effect of
785 the year relates to a site with an average value of the climatic descriptor. In the bottom-right figure
786 within each quadrat, we show the dependency of the response to year \times temperature on the response
787 to year; here, the four quadrats within the panel correspond to events that have shifted to earlier
788 especially at cold sites (EC), shifted to earlier especially at warm sites (EW), shifted to later
789 especially at cold sites (LC), and shifted to later especially at warm sites (LW). Filled symbols
790 indicate cases that are either positive or negative with at least 95% posterior probability. Silhouettes
791 adapted from <https://thenounproject.com>.


792



793

794 **Extended Data Fig. 5: Estimated shift in the phenological timing of events occurring in the**
 795 **spring versus autumn as functions of the average climate descriptors of the site.** Plots show the
 796 estimated shift in the phenological timing (days per year) among events occurring in the spring
 797 (solid line, showing predictions for Day of Year (DOY) 100, that is April 10) versus autumn (dotted
 798 line, showing DOY250, that is September 7), plotted against the average climate descriptors of the
 799 site. The colours of the lines identify the trophic level of the organism expressing the event.

800 Silhouettes adapted from <https://thenounproject.com>.



801

802 **Extended Data Fig. 6: Residual associations among events related to the random effects of the**

803 **site.** Plots show the estimates of associations among events measured by residual correlation at the


804 site level. The events have been ordered according to their mean date (increasing from left to right,

805 and from top to bottom). Event-to-event association matrices identify pairs showing a positive (red)

806 or negative (blue) association, shown only if association has either sign with at least 95% posterior

807 probability (the remaining cases are shown in white). Note that the top-left panel corresponds to
808 Fig. 4a of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from <https://thenounproject.com>.

809




810

811 **Extended Data Fig. 7: Residual associations among events related to the random effects of the**
812 **year.** Plots show the estimates of associations between events measured by residual correlation at
813 the year level. The events have been ordered according to their mean date (increasing from left to
814 right, and from top to bottom). Event-to-event association matrices identify pairs showing a positive
815 (red) or negative (blue) association, shown only if association has either sign with at least 95%

816 posterior probability (the remaining cases are shown in white). Note that the top-left panel
817 corresponds to Fig. 4b of the main text. Silhouettes adapted from <https://thenounproject.com>.

818



819

820 **Extended Data Fig. 8: Residual associations among events related to the random effects of the**
 821 **year-site pair.** Plots show the estimates of associations among events measured by residual
 822 correlation at the level of samples, that is year×site combinations. The events have been ordered
 823 according to their mean date (increasing from left to right, and from top to bottom). Event-to-event
 824 association matrices identify pairs showing a positive (red) or negative (blue) association, shown
 825 only if association has either sign with at least 95% posterior probability (the remaining cases are

826 shown in white). Note that the top-left panel corresponds to Fig. 4c of the main text. Silhouettes
827 adapted from <https://thenounproject.com>.

Supporting online material for Phenological shifts of abiotic events, producers and consumers across a continent

Contents

Authors	49
Author affiliations	50
Text S1. Classification of phenological events	53
Table S1. Classification of events by taxonomic and trophic position	53
Text S2. Description of the HMSC model	57
Text S3. Convergence of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) scheme used for posterior sampling	59
Figure S1. MCMC convergence statistics, measured as potential scale reduction factors and effective sample sizes.	59
References	60

Authors

Tomas Roslin¹, Laura Antao², Maria Hällfors², Evgeniy Meyke³, Coong Lo², Gleb Tikhonov⁴, Maria del Mar Delgado⁵, Eliezer Gurarie⁶, Marina Abadonova⁷, Ozodbek Abduraimov⁸, Olga Adrianova⁹, Tatiana Akimova¹⁰, Muzhigit Akkiev¹¹, Aleksandr Ananin^{12,13}, Elena Andreeva¹⁴, Natalia Andriychuk¹⁵, Maxim Antipin¹⁶, Konstantin Arzamashev¹⁷, Svetlana Babina¹⁸, Miroslav Babushkin¹⁹, Oleg Bakin²⁰, Anna Barabancova²¹, Inna Basilskaia²², Nina Belova²³, Natalia Belyaeva²⁴, Tatjana Bepalova²⁵, Evgeniya Bisikalova²⁶, Anatoly Bobretsov²⁷, Vladimir Bobrov²⁸, Vadim Bobrovskiy²⁹, Elena Bochkareva^{30,31}, Gennady Bogdanov³², Vladimir Bolshakov³³, Svetlana Bondarchuk³⁴, Evgeniya Bukharova^{12,102}, Alena Butunina²⁵, Yuri Buyvolov³⁵, Anna Buyvolova³⁶, Yuri Bykov³⁷, Elena Chakhireva²⁰, Olga Chashchina³⁸, Nadezhda Cherenkova³⁹, Sergej Chistjakov⁴⁰, Svetlana Chuhontseva¹⁰, Evgeniy A Davydov^{41,30}, Viktor Demchenko⁴², Elena Diadicheva⁴², Aleksandr Dobrolyubov⁴³, Ludmila Dostoyevskaya⁴⁴, Svetlana Drovkina³⁹, Zoya Drozdova³⁷, Akynaly Dubanaev⁴⁵, Yuriy Dubrovsky⁴⁶, Sergey Elsukov³⁴, Lidia Epova⁴⁷, Olga Ermakova²³, Olga S Ermakova⁴⁸, Elena Ershkova^{49,50}, Aleksandra Esengeldenova²⁵, Oleg Evstigneev⁵¹, Irina Fedchenko⁵², Violetta Fedotova⁴⁴, Tatiana Filatova⁵³, Sergey Gashev⁵⁴, Anatoliy Gavrilov⁵⁵, Irina Gaydys⁹, Dmitriy Golovcov⁵⁶, Nadezhda Goncharova¹⁴, Elena Gorbunova¹⁰, Tatyana Gordeeva^{57,102}, Vitaly Grishchenko⁵⁸, Ludmila Gromyko³⁴, Vladimir Hohryakov⁵⁹, Alexander Hritankov¹⁴, Elena Ignatenko⁶⁰, Svetlana Igosheva⁶¹, Uliya Ivanova⁶², Natalya Ivanova⁶³, Yury Kalinkin¹⁰, Evgeniya Kaygorodova⁵¹, Fedor Kazansky⁶⁴, Darya Kiseleva⁶⁵, Anastasia Knorre^{14,66}, Leonid Kolpashikov⁵⁵, Evgenii Korobov⁶⁷, Helen Korolyova¹⁰, Natalia Korotkikh²⁵, Gennadiy Kosenkov⁵⁹, Sergey Kossenko⁵¹, Elvira Kotlugalyamova⁶⁸, Evgeny Kozlovsky⁶⁹, Vladimir Kozshechkin¹⁴, Alla Kozurak¹⁵, Irina Kozyr²³, Aleksandra Krasnopevtseva²³, Sergey Kruglikov⁵¹, Olga Kuberskaya²⁹, Aleksey Kudryavtsev⁴³, Elena Kulebyakina⁷⁰, Yuliia Kulsha⁵⁸, Margarita Kupriyanova⁶², Murad Kurbanbagamaev²⁷, Anatoliy Kutenkov⁷¹, Nadezhda Kutenkova⁷¹, Nadezhda Kuyantseva^{38,72}, Andrey Kuznetsov¹⁹, Evgeniy Larin²⁵, Pavel Lebedev^{44,73}, Kirill Litvinov⁷⁴, Natalia Luzhkova¹², Azizbek Mahmudov⁸, Lidiya Makovkina⁷⁵, Viktor Mamontov⁷⁰, Svetlana Mayorova³⁷, Irina Megalinskaja²⁷, Artur Meydus^{76,77}, Aleksandr Minin^{78,79}, Oleg Mitrofanov¹⁰, Mykhailo Motruk⁸⁰, Aleksandr Myslenkov⁷⁵, Nina Nasonova⁸¹, Natalia Nemtseva¹⁹, Irina Nesterova³⁴, Tamara Nezdolij⁶², Tatyana Niroda⁸², Tatiana Novikova⁶¹, Darya Panicheva⁶⁴, Alexey Pavlov²⁰, Klara Pavlova⁶⁰, Sergei Podolski⁶⁰, Natalja Polikarpova⁸³, Tatiana Polyanskaya⁸⁴, Igor Pospelov²⁸, Elena Pospelova⁵⁵, Ilya Prokhorov³⁶, Irina Prokosheva⁸⁵, Lyudmila Puchkina⁵², Ivan Putrashyk⁸², Julia Raiskaya⁷⁶, Yuri Rozhkov⁸⁶, Olga Rozhkova⁸⁶, Marina Rudenko⁸⁷, Irina Rybnikova¹⁹, Svetlana Rykova⁵², Miroslava Sahnevich¹⁰, Alexander Samoylov³⁹, Valeri Sanko⁴², Inna Sapelnikova²², Sergei Sazonov^{88,102}, Zoya Selyunina⁸⁹, Ksenia Shalaeva⁵⁹, Maksim Shashkov^{90,63}, Anatoliy Shcherbakov⁷¹, Vasyl Shevchyk⁵⁸, Sergej Shubin⁹¹, Elena Shujskaja⁶⁷, Rustam Sibgatullin²⁴, Natalia Sikkila⁹, Elena Sitnikova⁵¹, Andrei Sivkov^{52,102}, Nataliya Skok⁶², Svetlana Skorokhodova⁷¹, Elena Smirnova³⁴, Galina Sokolova³⁵, Vladimir Sopin⁷⁶, Yurii Spasovski⁹², Sergei Stepanov⁶⁷, Vitaliy Stratiy⁹³, Violetta Strekalovskaya⁵⁵, Alexander Sukhov⁷¹, Guzalya Suleymanova⁹⁴, Lilija Sultangareeva⁶⁸, Viktorija Teleganova⁵⁷, Viktor Teplov^{27,102}, Valentina Teplova²⁷, Tatiana Tertitsa²⁷, Vladislav Timoshkin¹⁴, Dmitry Tirski⁸⁶, Andrej Tolmachev²¹, Aleksey Tomilin^{95,96}, Ludmila Tselishcheva⁹¹, Mirabdulla Turgunov⁸, Yuriy Tyukh⁸², Polina Van²⁹, Vladimir Van²⁹, Aleksander Vasin⁹⁷, Aleksandra Vasina⁹⁷, Anatoliy Vekliuk¹⁵, Lidia Vetchinnikova⁸⁸, Vladislav Vinogradov⁹⁸, Nikolay Volodchenkov²³, Inna Voloshina⁷⁵, Tura Xoliqov⁹⁹, Eugenia Yablonovska-Grishchenko⁵⁸, Vladimir Yakovlev^{10,102}, Marina Yakovleva⁷¹, Oksana Yantser⁶², Yuriy Yarema⁸², Andrey Zahvatov¹⁰⁰, Valery Zakharov³⁸, Nicolay Zelenetskiy¹⁹, Anatolii Zheltukhin⁶⁷, Tatyana Zubina¹⁰, Juri Kurhinen^{2,88} & Otso Ovaskainen^{2,101}

Author affiliations

¹Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Department of Ecology, PO BOX 7044, SE-75007 Uppsala, Sweden, ²University of Helsinki, PO BOX 65 00014 Helsinki, Finland, ³EarthCape OY, Latokartanonkaari 3 00790 Helsinki, Finland, ⁴Department of Computer Science, Aalto University, PO Box 15400 FI-00076, Finland, ⁵Oviedo University, Research Unit of Biodiversity (UMIB, UO-CSIC-PA), Campus Mieres, 33600 Mieres, Spain, ⁶University of Maryland, 3237 Biology-Psychology Building, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, United States, ⁷National Park Orlovskoe Polesie, 303943, Orel region, Hotynetskiy district, Zhuderskiy village, Shkolnaya st. 2, Russian Federation, ⁸Institute of Botany, Academy of sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, 100053, Tashkent, Bogi shamol str. 232 V, Uzbekistan, ⁹Kostomuksha Nature Reserve, 186930, Karelia Republic, Kostomuksha, Priozernaya 2, Russian Federation, ¹⁰Altai State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 649000, Altai Republic, Gorno-Altaysk, Naberezhnyi st., 1, Russian Federation, ¹¹Kabardino-Balkarski Nature Reserve, 360000, Kabardino-Balkaria, Cherek District, Mechieva 78, Russian Federation, ¹²FSE Zapovednoe Podlemorye, 671623, Republic of Buryatia, Ust-Bargizin, Lenina st. 71, Russian Federation, ¹³Institute of General and Experimental Biology, Siberian Department, Russian Academy of Sciences, 670047, Republic of Buryatia, Ulan-Ude, Sakhyanovoy str. 6, Russian Federation, ¹⁴State Nature Reserve Stolby, 660006, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk, Kariernaya 26, Russian Federation, ¹⁵Carpathian Biosphere Reserve, 90600, Zakarpatska obl., Rakhiv, Krasne Pleso Str. 77, Ukraine, ¹⁶Nizhne-Svirsky State Nature Reserve, 18700, Leningrad Region, Lodeinoe Pole, Svir River, 1, Russian Federation, ¹⁷State Nature Reserve Prisursky, 428034, Cheboksary, Lesnoj, 9, Russian Federation, ¹⁸Zapovednoe Pribajkalje (Bajkalo-Lensky State Nature Reserve, Pribajkalsky National Park), 664050, Irkutsk, Bajkalskaya St., 291B, Russian Federation, ¹⁹Darwin Nature Biosphere Reserve, 162723, Cherepovets District, Vologda Region, Borok, 44, p/o Ploskovo, Russian Federation, ²⁰Volzhsko-Kamsky National Nature Biosphere Reserve, 422537, Tatarstan Republic, Zelenodolsk District, p/o Raifa, Sadovy, str. Vechova, 1, Russian Federation, ²¹FGBU National Park Shushenskiy Bor, 662710, Krasnoyarsk Region, Shushenskoe, Lugovaja 9, Russian Federation, ²²Voronezhsky Nature Biosphere Reserve, 394080, Centralnaja usadba, Goszapovednik, Voronezh, Russian Federation, ²³Baikalsky State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 671220, Buryatia Republic, Kabansky District, Tankhoy, 34 Krasnogvardeyskaya Street, Russian Federation, ²⁴Visimsky Nature Biosphere Reserve, 624140, Kirovgrad, Stepana Razina, 23, Russian Federation, ²⁵Kondinskies Lakes National Park named after L. F. Stashkevich, 628240, Hanty-Mansijsk district, City Soviety, Komsomolski st., 5, Russian Federation, ²⁶FSBI United Administration of the Kedrovaya Pad' State Biosphere Nature Reserve and Leopard's Land National Park, 690068, Primorskiy kray, Vladivostok, pr. 100-letiya Vladivostoka 127, Russian Federation, ²⁷Pechoro-Ilych State Nature Reserve, 169436, Komi Republic, Trinity-Pechora region, Yaksha, Laninoy Street 8, Russian Federation, ²⁸A. N. Severtsov Institute of Ecology and Evolution, 119071, Moscow, Leninsky Prospekt 33, Russian Federation, ²⁹FGBU Zapovednoye Priamurye, Komsomolskiy Department, 681000, Khabarovskiy krai, Komsomolsk-on-Amur, Mira avenue, 54, Russian Federation, ³⁰Tigirek State Nature Reserve, 656043, Barnaul, Nikitina street 111, Russian Federation, ³¹Institute of Systematics and Ecology of Animals of Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Science, 930091, Novosibirsk, Frunze 11, Russian Federation, ³²State Nature Reserve Bolshaya Kokshaga, 424038, Mary El Republic, Yoshkar-Ola, Voinov-Internatsionalistov 26, Russian Federation, ³³Institute of Plant and Animal Ecology, Ural Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, 620100, Ekaterinburg, 8 Marta 202/3, Russian Federation, ³⁴Sikhote-Alin State Nature Biosphere Reserve named after K. G. Abramov, 692150, Primorsky krai, Terney, Partizanskaya 44, Russian Federation, ³⁵FSBI Prioksko-Terrasniy State Reserve, 142200, Moscow region, Serpukhov district, Danky, Russian Federation, ³⁶Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991, Moscow, Leninskie Gory, Russian Federation, ³⁷National park Meshchera, 601501, Vladimir region, Gus-Hrustalnyi,

Internacionalnaya 111, Russian Federation, ³⁸South Urals Federal Research Center of Mineralogy and Geoecology of the Urals Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Ilmeny State Reserve, 456317, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, Russian Federation, ³⁹FGBU National Park Kenozersky, 163000, Arkhangelsk, Embankment of the Northern Dvina, 78, Russian Federation, ⁴⁰FGBU GPZ Kologrivskij les im. M.G. Sinicina, 157440, Kostromskaja oblast', Kologriv, Nekrasova 48, Russian Federation, ⁴¹Altai State University, 656049, Lenin Ave. 61, Barnaul, Russian Federation, ⁴²Pryazovskyi National Nature Park, 72312, Zaporiz'ka oblast, Melitopol', Interkulturna Street, 21/1, Ukraine, ⁴³State Nature Reserve Privolzhskaya Lesostep, 440031, Penza, Okruzhnaya 12-a, Russian Federation, ⁴⁴Komarov Botanical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences (BIN RAS), 197376, Saint Petersburg, Professora Popova 2, Russian Federation, ⁴⁵Sary-Chelek State Nature Reserve, 715705, Dzalal-Abad region, Aksu district, Arkyt village, Kyrgyzstan, ⁴⁶Institute for Evolutionary Ecology NAS Ukraine, 03143, Kiev, Lebedeva 37, Ukraine, ⁴⁷FGBU State Nature Reserve Kuznetsk Alatau, 652888, Kemerovo region, Mezhdurechensk, Shakhterov 33-1, Russian Federation, ⁴⁸Kerzhenskiy State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 603001, Nizhny Novgorod, Rozhdestvenskaya 23, Russian Federation, ⁴⁹FSBI United Administration of the Mordovia State Nature Reserve and National Park Smolny, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk, Krasnaya 30, Russian Federation, ⁵⁰Ogarev Mordovia State University, 430005, Republic of Mordovia, Saransk, Bolshhevistskaya 68, Russian Federation, ⁵¹Bryansk Forest Nature Reserve, 242180, Bryansk region, Suzemka district, Nerussa St., Zapovednaya street, 2, Russian Federation, ⁵²Pinezhsky State Nature Reserve, 164610, Arhangel region, Pinezhkiy district, Pinega, Pervomayskaya street, 123 A, Russian Federation, ⁵³The Central Chernozem State Biosphere Nature Reserve named after Professor V.V. Alyokhin, 305528 Kurskiy region, Kurskiy district, p/o Zapovednoe, Russian Federation, ⁵⁴Tyumen State University, 625043, Tyumen, Pirogova str., 3, Russian Federation, ⁵⁵Reserves of Taimyr, 666300, Norilsk, str. Talnakhskaya, entrance 2, Russian Federation, ⁵⁶Chatkalski National Park, 100059, Toshkent, Shota Rustaveli St., 144-34, Uzbekistan, ⁵⁷National Park Ugra, 248007, Kaluga, Prigorodnoe lesnichestvo, 3a, Russian Federation, ⁵⁸Kaniv Nature Reserve, 19000, Kaniv, Shevchenko str. 108, Ukraine, ⁵⁹Smolenskoe Poozerje National Park, 216270, Smolensk Region, Demidovskiy district, Przhevalskoe, Gurevitch street 19, Russian Federation, ⁶⁰FSBI Zeya State Nature Reserve, 676246, Stroitel'naya str. 71, Zeya, Amurskaya Oblast, Russian Federation, ⁶¹Polistovsky State Nature Reserve, 182840, Pskov region, Bezhanitsy district, Bezhanitsy Sovetskaya street, 9B, Russian Federation, ⁶²Ural State Pedagogical University, 620017, Yekaterinburg, prosp. Kosmonavtov, 26, Russian Federation, ⁶³Institute of Mathematical Problems of Biology RAS – the Branch of the Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics of Russian Academy of Sciences, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Prof. Vitkevicha 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁴Kronotsky Federal Nature Biosphere Reserve, 684000, Kamchatka region, Yelizovo, Ryabikova street 48, Russian Federation, ⁶⁵Zhiguli Nature Reserve, 445362, Samara region, P. Bakhilova Polyana, Zhigulyovskaya 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁶Institute for Ecology and Geography, Siberian Federal University, 660041, Krasnoyarsk, 79 Svobodny pr., Russian Federation, ⁶⁷Central Forest State Nature Biosphere Reserve, 172521, Tver region, Nelidovo district, Zapovedniy village, Russian Federation, ⁶⁸National Park Bashkiriya, 453870, Bashkortostan Republic, Meleuzovskiy district, Nurgush, Abubakirova 1, Russian Federation, ⁶⁹State Nature Reserve Kurilsky, 694500, Sakhalin, Juzhno-Kurilsk, Zarechnaya 5, Russian Federation, ⁷⁰Vodlozersky National Park, 185002, Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Parkovaya 44, Russian Federation, ⁷¹State Nature Reserve Kivach, 186220, Kondopoga District, Republic of Karelia, Russian Federation, ⁷²South-Ural Federal University, 4563304, Chelyabinskaya oblast, Miass, ul. Kalinina 37, Russian Federation, ⁷³Saint-Petersburg State Forest Technical University, 194021, St. Petersburg, Institut'skiy per. 5, 1-338-3, Russian Federation, ⁷⁴Astrakhan Biosphere Reserve, 414021, Astrakhan, Tsaerv River Bank 119, Russian Federation, ⁷⁵FSBI United Administration of the Lazovsky State Reserve and national park Zov Tigra, 692980, Primorskiy Krai, Lazovskiy District, Lazo, Centralnaya, 56, Russian Federation, ⁷⁶State Nature Reserve Tungusskiy, 660028, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk Street 27

19, Russian Federation, ⁷⁷Krasnoyarsk State Pedagogical University named after V.P. Astafyev, 660049, Krasnoyarsk, Ada Lebedeva st. 89, Russian Federation, ⁷⁸Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119017, Moscow, Staromonetniy 29, Russian Federation, ⁷⁹Koltzov Institute of Developmental Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119334, Moscow, Vavilov Street 26, Russian Federation, ⁸⁰Carpathian National Nature Park, 78500, Ivano-Frankivsk region, Yaremche, V. Stusa street 6, Ukraine, ⁸¹State Environmental Institution National Park Braslav lakes, 211970, Vitebsk region, Braslav, Dachnaya 1, Belarus, ⁸²National Park Synevyr, 90041, Zakarpattia Region, Mizhhirs'kyi district, Synevyr-Ostriki, Ukraine, ⁸³Pasvik State Nature Reserve, 184421, Murmansk region, Nikel, Gvardeyskiy Ave. 43, Russian Federation, ⁸⁴Mari Chodra National Park, 425090, Mari El Republic, Zvenigovsky District, Krasnogorsky Settlement, Tsentralnaya Street, 73, Russian Federation, ⁸⁵State Nature Reserve Vishersky, 618590, Perm region, Krasnovishersk, Gagarina street 36B, Russian Federation, ⁸⁶State Nature Reserve Olekminsky, 678100, Republic Sakha, Olekminsk, Filatova 6, Russian Federation, ⁸⁷Crimea Nature Reserve, 298514, Alushta, Partizanskaya, 42, Republic of Crimea, ⁸⁸Forest Research Institute Karelian Research Centre Russian Academy of Sciences, 185910, Karelia, Petrozavodsk, Pushkinskaya 11, Russian Federation, ⁸⁹Black Sea Biosphere Reserve, 75600, Kherson'ska oblast, Hola Prystan', Mikhail Lermontov 1, Ukraine, ⁹⁰Institute of Physicochemical and Biological Problems in Soil Sciences Russian Academy of Science, 142290, Moscow Region, Pushchino, Institutsкая 2, Russian Federation, ⁹¹State Nature Reserve Nurgush, 610002, Kirov, Lenina street, 129a, Russian Federation, ⁹²Caucasian State Biosphere Reserve of the Ministry of Natural Resources, 385000, Adygea Republik, Maykop, Sovetskaya str. 187, Russian Federation, ⁹³National Nature Park Vyzhnytskiy, 59200, Chernivtsi Region, Vyzhnytsya District, Berehomiet, Street Central 27 a, Ukraine, ⁹⁴National Park Khvalynsky, 412780, Region Saratov, Khvalynsk Sity, Oktyberskaya Street, 2b, Russian Federation, ⁹⁵State Research Center Arctic and Antarctic Research Institute, 199397, Saint Petersburg, Bering st. 38, Russian Federation, ⁹⁶Information-Analytical Centre for Protected Areas, 123242, Moscow, Kapranova side-street 3, ⁹⁷State Nature Reserve Malaya Sosva, 628242, Tjumen region, Sovetskiy, Lenina str., 46, Russian Federation, ⁹⁸Krasnoyarsk State Medical University named after Prof. V.F.Voino-Yasenetsky, 660022, Krasnoyarsk region, Krasnoyarsk, Partizana Zhelezniaka, 1, Russian Federation, ⁹⁹Surhanskiy State Nature Reserve, 191404, Surhandarja region, Sherabad, Agahi, 1, Uzbekistan, ¹⁰⁰Mordovia State Nature Reserve, 431230, Mordovia Republic, Temnikov region, village Pushta, Russian Federation, ¹⁰¹Centre for Biodiversity Dynamics, Department of Biology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway. ¹⁰²Evgeniya Bukharova, Tatyana Gordeeva, Sergei Sazonov, Andrei Sivkov, Viktor Teplov, and Vladimir Yakovlev are deceased.

* Corresponding author: tomas.roslin@slu.se

Text S1. Classification of phenological events

All events were classified into four trophic levels according to the organism expressing the event: primary producers (plants), primary consumers (herbivorous insects) and secondary consumers (predatory insects, amphibians, birds, mammals). Saprotrophs (fungi) were included as a separate level, as commonly feeding on the remains of multiple other levels, and abiotic events as a separate category. Species classification was based on the basic biology of the juvenile stage. For holometabolous organisms (such as insects undergoing full metamorphosis), the juvenile stage is typically the one consuming the most resources for growth, and the same applies for birds. Specifically, in classifying all birds as secondary consumers, we note that while some species may be mainly herbivorous as adults, their young are dependent on protein-rich arthropods for growth¹. Since we expect breeding-time resources to be more decisive for these species' phenology than the needs of the adults, we have used the diet of the young for our trophic classification.

Table S1. Classification of events by taxonomic and trophic position

The table shows the identity of the species and events included in the analyses, with their taxonomic position, trophic level and relative timing (here expressed as the mean Day of the Year when the phenological event first occurred; mean DOY).

Taxon (+ meteo events)	Trophic level	Event scored	Mean DOY
AVES			
Alaudidae			
<i>Alauda arvensis</i>	Secondary consumer	1st song*	93.14
Anatidae			
<i>Anas crecca</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	119.73
<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	101.64
<i>Cygnus cygnus</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	107.42
<i>Bucephala clangula</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	109.81
Apodidae			
<i>Apus apus</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	142.78
Charadriidae			
<i>Vanellus vanellus</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	100.43
Corvidae			
<i>Corvus frugilegus</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	78.54
Cuculidae			
<i>Cuculus canorus</i>	Secondary consumer	1st song*	127.64
Fringillidae			
<i>Fringilla coelebs</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	98.12
Gruidae			
<i>Grus grus</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	103.00
Hirundinidae			
<i>Hirundo rustica</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	124.57
		Last occurrence	259.44
Motacillidae			
<i>Motacilla alba</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence*	103.11
		Last occurrence	271.23

Muscicapidae			
<i>Luscinia luscinia</i>	Secondary consumer	1st song	125.15
Paridae			
<i>Parus major</i>	Secondary consumer	1st song	42.33
Picidae			
<i>Dendrocopos major</i>	Secondary consumer	Start of drumming	46.91
Sturnidae			
<i>Sturnus vulgaris</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	86.51
FUNGUS			
Boletaceae			
<i>Leccinum aurantiacum</i>	Saprotroph	1st occurrence	179.67
<i>Leccinum scabrum</i>	Saprotroph	1st occurrence	184.60
INSECTA			
Apidae			
<i>Bombus sp</i>	Primary consumer	1st occurrence	118.04
Culicidae			
<i>Culicidae sp</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	126.78
Formicidae			
<i>Formica rufa</i>	Secondary consumer	Livening up	103.83
Nymphalidae			
<i>Aglais urticae</i>	Primary consumer	1st occurrence	101.22
Pieridae			
<i>Gonepteryx rhamni</i>	Primary consumer	1st occurrence	102.30
MAMMALIA			
Ursidae			
<i>Ursus arctos</i>	Secondary consumer	Awakening	102.49
PLANTAE			
Asparagaceae			
<i>Convallaria majalis</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	144.47
<i>Maianthemum bifolium</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	167.92
Astaraceae			
<i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	169.79
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	131.87
<i>Tussilago farfara</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	106.95
Betulaceae			
<i>Betula pendula</i>	Producer	Onset of sap bleeding	103.36
		Onset of budburst	120.20
		Onset of leaf unfolding	128.36
		Onset of autumn colouring	242.07
		Onset of leaf fall	247.69
		Full autumn colouring of leaves	264.94
		Leaf fall end	287.69
Caprifoliaceae			
<i>Lonicera caerulea</i>		Onset of blooming	150.41
Ericaceae			
<i>Oxycoccus palustris</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	163.29
<i>Ledum palustre</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	156.75
<i>Vaccinium myrtillus</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	145.35

		Onset of fruiting (ripe)*	195.23
		Mass fruiting	204.14
<i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	162.31
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	227.44
		Mass fruiting	239.47
Fabaceae			
<i>Caragana arborescens</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	148.28
Grossulariaceae			
<i>Ribes nigrum</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	145.99
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	205.27
Malvaceae			
<i>Tilia cordata</i>	Producer	Onset of leaf unfolding	129.07
		Onset of blooming*	181.85
Oleaceae			
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	150.87
Onagraceae			
<i>Epilobium angustifolium</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	180.06
Pinaceae			
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i>	Producer	onset of blooming*	153.18
Ranunculaceae			
<i>Caltha palustris</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	133.70
<i>Trollius europaeus</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	150.87
Rosaceae			
<i>Fragaria vesca</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	144.48
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	176.94
<i>Prunus padus</i>	Producer	Onset of budburst	114.10
		Onset of leaf unfolding	124.06
		Onset of blooming*	139.22
		Onset of mass blooming	143.10
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	202.32
		Onset of autumn colouring	221.38
		Onset of leaf fall	232.95
		Leaf fall end	275.01
<i>Rosa acicularis</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	171.34
<i>Rubus chamaemorus</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	154.64
<i>Rubus idaeus</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	166.83
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	205.81
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	156.06
		Onset of fruiting (ripe)	227.55
Salicaceae			
<i>Salix caprea</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming*	123.08
<i>Populus tremula</i>	Producer	Onset of blooming	115.70
		Onset of leaf unfolding	137.18
		Onset of autumn colouring	241.60
		Onset of leaf fall	248.20
		Full autumn colouring of leaves	263.45
		Leaf fall end	280.00
REPTILIA			
Squamata			
<i>Zootoca vivipara</i>	Secondary consumer	1st occurrence	120.50
METEO			

Thaw		1st thawed patches to an earth surface around trunks of trees	90.00
		1st thawed patches on open places	103.11
Temperature		Daily average air temperature - transition above 0	91.23
		Daily average air temperature - transition above +5	115.19
		Daily average air temperature - transition above +10	136.45
		Frost; last	139.86
		Frost; soil; last	142.44
		Daily average air temperature - transition above +15	160.29
		Daily minimal air temperature - transition above +10	165.44
		Daily average air temperature - transition more low +15	234.26
		Daily minimal air temperature - transition more low +10	234.83
		Frost; soil; 1st	253.34
		Frost; 1st	259.51
		Daily average air temperature - transition more low +10	264.52
		Daily average air temperature - transition more low +5	285.69
		Daily average air temperature - transition more low 0	303.48
Snow		Snow cover melted	114.60
		Snow cover melted; open spaces	115.25
		Snow cover melted; forest	123.08
		Snowfall; last	125.66
		Snow cover formation; 1st	295.66
		Snow cover formation; permanent	308.57
		Snowfall; 1st	283.16
Ice		Ice cover melting; breakup	116.33
		Ice cover melted	120.27
		Ice formation; definitive	319.39
Others		Rain; 1st	94.58
		Thunderstorm; 1st	134.92

Text S2. Description of the HMSC model

Hierarchical Modelling of Species Communities (HMSC) is a multivariate generalized linear mixed modelling framework^{2,3}. HMSC was primarily developed for community ecology, so that in a typical application the response matrix (\mathbf{Y}) contains species occurrences or abundances on a set of sampling units (e.g. spatial locations), the environmental predictor matrix (\mathbf{X}) contains e.g. climatic conditions in those sampling units, and the species trait matrix (\mathbf{T}) includes species-level traits such as body size. HMSC allows to ask how species occurrences depend on environmental conditions (how \mathbf{Y} depends on \mathbf{X}), and how the species responses to environmental variation depend on their traits (how \mathbf{T} modulates the mapping from \mathbf{X} to \mathbf{Y}). Additionally, HMSC includes community-level random effects implemented through latent variables; these allow the modelling of residual (not accounted for species responses to \mathbf{X}) species associations at different spatiotemporal levels.

Here, we apply HMSC to phenological data, so that instead of species occurrences we include in the response matrix \mathbf{Y} the dates of the phenological events. In other words, phenological events (e.g. the first arrival of a given bird species) here play the role of “species”. In the predictor matrix \mathbf{X} , we include the climatic conditions of the study locations, as well as the year of the observation, with the latter capturing the trends over time that are the main focus of this paper. In the species trait matrix \mathbf{T} , we include key characteristics of the phenological events: the mean timing of the event (e.g. to distinguish spring events from autumn events), and the type of event (e.g. to distinguish abiotic from biotic events).

We call a given site-year pair as “sampling unit”, and denote by y_{ij} the date at which the phenological event j took place in sampling unit i . Each data point is then the vector y_i , where the dot notation stands for the vector of y_{ij} values for all indices j , thus y_i consisting of the dates at which all phenological events took place for the site-year pair i . We modelled the data with the multivariate hierarchical linear mixed model defined as:

$$y_{ij} = \sum_k x_{ik} \beta_{kj} + a_{s(i)j} + b_{t(i)j} + c_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}.$$

- Concerning the fixed effects, β_{kj} is the effect of the covariate k on the phenological event j . The covariates included for each sampling unit i were the year, the climatic descriptor of the site, and the interaction between these two. Additionally the model includes the intercept, so $x_{1i} = 1$ for all i .
- Concerning the community-level random effects, $s(i)$ denotes the site and $t(i)$ denotes the year of the sampling unit i . Thus $a_{s(i)j}$ is the random effect of the site, $b_{t(i)j}$ is the random effect of the year, and c_{ij} is the random effect of the sampling unit. Each of these is modelled through the latent variable model described in detail in ², so that e.g. $a_{sj} = \sum_{h=1}^{n_f^s} \lambda_{hj}^s \eta_{hs}^s$, where n_f^s is the number of factors included at the site level (as indicated by the superscript s), η_{sk}^s is the latent variable k for site s , and λ_{kj}^s is the loading of phenological event j for factor k . We note that the association matrix among the phenological events can be constructed from the loadings λ_{kj}^s as $\Omega_{j_1 j_2}^s = \sum_{h=1}^{n_f^s} \lambda_{hj_1}^s \lambda_{hj_2}^s$; see ².
- Concerning the residual, we assume a linear model, so $\varepsilon_{ij} \sim N(0, \sigma_j^2)$, where σ_j^2 is the residual variance of phenological event j .

The responses of the phenological events to the covariates were further modelled with a linear regression, using the traits of the events as predictors. The expected response $\mu_{kj} = E[\beta_{kj}]$ was modelled as $\mu_{kj} = \sum_l t_{jl} \gamma_{kl}$ and the realized response with the multivariate normal model as

$\beta_{.j} \sim N(\mu_{k.}, \mathbf{V})$. As the trait predictors t_{jh} , we included the intercept, the mean day of the phenological event, the type of the phenological event, and the interaction between them. To account for the periodic nature of the day of the year, the mean day of the phenological event d was modelled with the predictors $\cos(2\pi d/356)$ and $\sin(2\pi d/356)$. The type of event was implemented as a factor, with the levels of abiotic events, producers, primary consumers, secondary consumers, and saprotrophs.


We fitted the models with R-package Hmsc⁴ assuming the default prior distribution. For a technical description of the default prior distribution we refer to ⁴, whereas for an ecological motivation of the default prior distribution we refer to ². We performed posterior sampling for four Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains, each of which we sampled for 375,000 iterations, out of which we discarded the first 125,000 as a transient and thinned the remaining by 1000, thus yielding 250 samples per chain and 1000 samples in total. To evaluate MCMC convergence, we examined the distributions of the potential scale reduction factor over the parameters related to the fixed effects (β –parameters) and the random effects (Ω -parameters), equivalent to the Gelman-Rubin statistic⁵.

Text S3. Convergence of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) scheme used for posterior sampling

For each model we obtained 250 samples for each of the four MCMC chains, and thus in total 1000 samples. The 250 samples for each chain were obtained by running the MCMC for $375 \times \text{thin}$ iterations, out of which $125 \times \text{thin}$ were discarded as transient, and the remaining $250 \times \text{thin}$ iterations were then evenly thinned to yield 250 samples. We applied $\text{thin}=1, 10, 100, 1000$ to check which level of thinning was sufficient to ensure satisfactory convergence. We assessed MCMC convergence by computing the effective number of samples and the potential scale reduction factor. These are shown in Fig. S3 for the beta-parameters of the HMSC model⁴, which parameters measure the responses of the species to the included covariates. As the majority of the potential scale reduction factors are close to one and the effective sample size is close to the actual sample size of 1000, we conclude the MCMC convergence was satisfactory.

Figure S1. MCMC convergence statistics, measured as potential scale reduction factors and effective sample sizes.

Each boxplot shows the distribution of values over the species-specific beta-parameters for models that include the mean annual temperature (T), latitude (L), photoperiod (P) or chilling sum (C) as the climatic predictor.



References

1. White, T. C. R. When is a herbivore not a herbivore ? *Oecologia* **67**, 596–597 (1985).
2. Ovaskainen, O. & Abrego, N. *Joint Species Distribution Modelling With Applications in R.* (Cambridge University Press , 2020).
3. Ovaskainen, O. *et al.* How to make more out of community data? A conceptual framework and its implementation as models and software. *Ecology Letters* **20**, 561–576 (2017).
4. Tikhonov, G. *et al.* Joint species distribution modelling with the R-package HMSC. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **11**, 442–447 (2019).
5. Gelman, A. *et al.* *Bayesian Data Analysis.* (2013).